

# PUBLIC ACCESS TO NORTH CAROLINA COURT RECORDS

Michael Crowell  
UNC School of Government  
November 2012

## 1. Constitutional and common law considerations

- a. The United States Supreme Court has not decided whether there is a First Amendment right of access to court documents, but has decided there is a common law right of access. It is within the discretion of the trial court to decide whether to limit such common law access. *Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc.*, 435 US 589 (1978).
- b. The Fourth Circuit has held that there is a First Amendment right of access to court documents when the proceeding to which the documents pertain has historically been open to the public and when public access plays a significant role in the process. *Baltimore Sun Company v. Goetz*, 886 F2d 60 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir 1989).
- c. When the First Amendment right applies, access can be denied only to serve a compelling state interest, and the restriction on access must be narrowly tailored to serve that interest. When only the common law right of access applies, access may be denied when “essential to preserve higher values,” and the restriction must be narrowly tailored. As a practical matter there does not appear to be a significant difference between the standard under the First Amendment and the common law standard.
- d. The North Carolina Court of Appeals has followed the *Baltimore Sun* analysis in determining whether a First Amendment right of access applies to court documents, holding that search warrants are subject only to the common law right of access. *In re Investigation into Death of Cooper*, 200 NC App 180 (2009). The qualified right of access to court documents is based on Art. I, § 18 of the NC Constitution (“All courts shall be open . . .”). The qualified right of access can be limited by a countervailing “higher interest” such as protecting the defendant’s right to a fair trial, preserving the integrity of an ongoing investigation, or protecting witnesses or innocent third parties.

## 2. Public records law (GS Chapter 132)

- a. Court records come under the broad definition of public record in GS 132-1 and, thus, most disputes about release of court records are resolved under the public records statutes and do not require consideration of constitutional issues.
- b. Additionally GS 7A-109(a) reiterates that records maintained by the clerk of court pursuant to Administrative Office of the Courts rules are public.

- c. The only court documents which the public records law specifically exempts from disclosure are:
  - i. Settlement documents in cases involving medical malpractice actions against public hospital facilities. *See* GS 132-1.3(a). [Settlement documents in actions against state and local public agencies other than hospitals are public records and may not be sealed except upon a finding that there is an overriding interest in sealing the document and that no measure short of sealing will protect that interest. *See* GS 132-1.3.]
  - ii. Arrest and search warrants before they have been returned by law enforcement agencies. *See* GS 132-1.4(k).
- d. The definition of a public record in GS 132-1 is broad and includes “all documents, papers, letters, maps, books . . . regardless of physical form or characteristics, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance in connection with the transaction of public business by any agency of North Carolina government . . . .” “Agency of North Carolina government” is further defined to include all public officers and public offices, thus encompassing court officials and employees. The statute indicates the legislature’s intent that, as a general rule, the public will have liberal access to public records. *News & Observer Publishing Co. v. Poole*, 330 NC 465 (1992).
- e. E-mails and other documents in electronic form may be public records the same as paper documents.
  - i. As with paper records, the rules on which e-mails have to be retained and for how long are set by the records retention schedule of the Department of Cultural Resources.
  - ii. If an e-mail is sent or received in connection with public business, it is a public record regardless of whether it was transmitted and stored on a public or private computer.
  - iii. Purely personal e-mail is not a public record just because it was sent or received on a public computer.
  - iv. E-mail with only a short-term value such as reminders of meetings, inquiries about scheduling, news reports, etc., may be deleted as soon as their reference value ends, but other e-mails of more lasting interest must be retained according to the records retention schedule.
- f. Unlike some other states, there is no case law in North Carolina exempting the judicial department from the public records law based on separation of powers.

- g. The best resource for information about the public records law is the 2009 School of Government publication Public Records Law for North Carolina Local Government by David M. Lawrence.

### **3. Other statutes addressing the confidentiality of court records**

Statutes other than the public records law address the confidentiality of various kinds of court records. These statutes include:

- a. GS 1A-1, Rule 26(c) — The judge in a civil case may limit discovery and order that documents be sealed.
- b. GS 7B-2901(a) — Records of cases of juvenile abuse, neglect and dependency are not open to public inspection and may be examined only by court order. That statute and GS 7B-2902 provide for public disclosure in certain circumstances, and GS 7B-3100 provides for sharing of information by agencies in some situations.
- c. GS 7B-3000(b) — Records of cases involving delinquent and undisciplined juveniles are not open to public inspection and may be examined only by court order. That statute and GS 7B-3001 provide for disclosure to certain individuals and agencies, and GS 7B-3100 provides for sharing of information by agencies in some situations.
- d. GS 15-207 — Information obtained by a probation officer is privileged and is to be disclosed only to the court and Secretary of Correction and others authorized by them.
- e. GS 15A-623(e), (f) and (g) — Grand jury proceedings are secret; members of the grand jury and others present are prohibited from disclosing anything that transpired; the judge may direct that the indictment be sealed until the defendant is arrested; and anyone who wrongly discloses grand jury information is subject to contempt.
- f. GS 15A-908 — The judge may limit discovery in criminal cases and order the sealing of documents presented for in-camera review.
- g. GS 15A-1002(d) — A report on the capacity of the defendant to stand trial is to be sealed but copies provided to counsel.
- h. GS 15A-1333(a) — Presentence reports and information obtained by sentencing programs to prepare such reports are not public records and may be made available only to the defendant, the defendant's lawyer, the prosecutor and the court.
- i. GS 48-9-102 — All adoption records except the decree of adoption and the entry in the special proceedings index are confidential, subject to disclosure under other provisions of Article 9 of Chapter 48.

- j. GS 90-21.8(f) and (h) — In a district court proceeding relating to a minor’s consent to abortion the court is to order that a confidential record of the evidence be maintained. If the minor appeals for a de novo hearing in superior court, the record of that hearing is confidential.
- k. GS 114-19.28 — The clerk of court is to create a “separate confidential file” for the petition of a convicted felon to have the right to possess firearms restored.
- l. GS 132-1.3 — Settlement documents in cases involving state or local agencies are public records except for medical malpractice actions against hospital facilities, and may be sealed only upon a finding by the court of an overriding interest and a determination that no measure short of sealing would protect that interest.
- m. GS 122C-207 — Court records in mental illness and substance abuse proceedings are confidential but may be disclosed pursuant to GS 122C-54(d) which allows a district judge to order disclosure upon a motion and a determination that it is in the best interest of the individual or public.

**4. Inherent authority to limit access to court documents.**

- a. The court has inherent authority to seal documents when necessary to ensure that each side has a fair and impartial trial or to serve another overriding public interest. *Virmani v. Presbyterian Health Services Corp.*, 350 NC 449 (1999).
- b. An agreement by the parties in a domestic case to maintain confidentiality in any proceeding against each other does not bind the court and does not by itself establish a compelling reason for sealing court records. *France v. France*, \_\_\_ NC App \_\_\_, 705 SE2d 399 (2011).

This paper may be used for educational purposes without permission.  
Use for commercial purposes or without acknowledgement is prohibited.  
© 2012, School of Government, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill