Housing Codes for Repair and Maintenance

Using the General Police Power and Minimum Housing Statutes to Prevent Dwelling Deterioration

> C. Tyler Mulligan Jennifer L. Ma



The School of Government at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill works to improve the lives of North Carolinians by engaging in practical scholarship that helps public officials and citizens understand and improve state and local government. Established in 1931 as the Institute of Government, the School provides educational, advisory, and research services for state and local governments. The School of Government is also home to a nationally ranked graduate program in public administration and specialized centers focused on information technology, environmental finance, and civic education for youth.

As the largest university-based local government training, advisory, and research organization in the United States, the School of Government offers up to 200 courses, seminars, and specialized conferences for more than 12,000 public officials each year. In addition, faculty members annually publish approximately fifty books, book chapters, bulletins, and other reference works related to state and local government. Each day that the General Assembly is in session, the School produces the *Daily Bulletin*, which reports on the day's activities for members of the legislature and others who need to follow the course of legislation.

The Master of Public Administration Program is a full-time, two-year program that serves up to sixty students annually. It consistently ranks among the best public administration graduate programs in the country, particularly in city management. With courses ranging from public policy analysis to ethics and management, the program educates leaders for local, state, and federal governments and nonprofit organizations.

Operating support for the School of Government's programs and activities comes from many sources, including state appropriations, local government membership dues, private contributions, publication sales, course fees, and service contracts. Visit www.sog.unc.edu or call 919.966.5381 for more information on the School's courses, publications, programs, and services.

Michael R. Smith, Dean
Thomas H. Thornburg, Senior Associate Dean
Frayda S. Bluestein, Associate Dean for Faculty Development
Todd A. Nicolet, Associate Dean for Operations
Ann Cary Simpson, Associate Dean for Development
Bradley G. Volk, Associate Dean for Administration

FACULTY

William C. Rivenbark Gregory S. Allison Willow S. Jacobson David N. Ammons Robert P. Joyce Dale J. Roenigk Ann M. Anderson Kenneth L. Joyner John Rubin A. Fleming Bell, II Diane M. Juffras Jessica Smith Maureen M. Berner Dona G. Lewandowski Karl W. Smith Mark F Rotts James M. Markham Carl W. Stenberg III Michael Crowell Janet Mason John B. Stephens Shea Riggsbee Denning Christopher B. McLaughlin Charles Szypszak James C. Drennan Laurie L. Mesibov Shannon H. Tufts Richard D. Ducker Kara A. Millonzi Vaughn Upshaw Joseph S. Ferrell Jill D. Moore Aimee N. Wall Alyson A. Grine Jonathan Q. Morgan Jeffrey B. Welty Norma Houston Ricardo S. Morse Richard B. Whisnant Cheryl Daniels Howell C. Tyler Mulligan Gordon P. Whitaker Jeffrey A. Hughes David W. Owens Eileen R. Youens

© 2011 School of Government, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Use of this publication for commercial purposes or without acknowledgment of its source is prohibited. Reproducing, distributing, or otherwise making available to a non-purchaser the entire publication, or a substantial portion of it, without express permission, is prohibited.

Printed in the United States of America

15 14 13 12 11 1 2 3 4 5

ISBN 978-1-56011-662-2

Cover photo by Travis Long, The News & Observer

- This publication is printed on permanent, acid-free paper in compliance with the North Carolina General Statutes.
- Printed on recycled paper

Contents

Introduction 1

1	Statutory Tools for Code Enforcement 5
2	The General Police Power 11
	2.1 Local Government Authority for the Exercise of the General Police Power 11
	2.2 General Police Power Case Law as Applied to Repair-Oriented Regulations 15
	2.3 Enforcement of Local Government Ordinances Enacted under the General Police Power 18
	 2.4 Recoupment of Costs for Regulatory Activities under the General Police Power 19 Fees 19 Civil Penalties 20
	2.5 Limitations on the General Police Power: Encroaching on the Minimum HousingStatutes 20
3	Minimum Housing Statutes 31
	3.1 Basic Operation and Enforcement of Minimum Housing Ordinances Preliminary Investigation 32 Notice and Hearing Requirements 33 Identification of Those to Be Served 33 Service of Complaints and Orders 34 Hearing 34 Standard of Strict Compliance 35 Orders and Enforcement under Minimum Housing Ordinances 35 Order to Remove or Demolish 35 Order to Repair 36 Abandonment of the Intent to Repair Procedure 38 Effectuation of a Repair Order over One Year Old 39 Appeals Board 39

3.2	Recoupment of Costs for Minimum Housing Activities 41
	Liens 41
	Fees 41
	Fines and Civil Penalties 42
3.3	Manipulation of Minimum Housing Ordinance Definitions 42
	Reasonable Cost Percentage 43
	Additional Standards for Defining <i>Unfit for Human Habitation</i> 43
4 The	Design of Comprehensive Repair-Oriented Housing Codes:
	blying the General Police Power and Minimum Housing Authority
• • •	ether 55
_	
4.2	Procedures for Local Government Effectuation in the Event of Owner Noncompliance 57
4.3	Cost Recoupment for Effectuation 58
4.4	Fines and Penalties 58
4.5	Fees to Cover Administrative Costs 59
4.6	Alternatives to Strict Bifurcation 59
Appen	dix 1 Minimum Housing Procedures Flowchart 61
A.	The Determination of Unfitness 62
В.	Initial Minimum Housing Ordinance Orders Subsequent to the
	Determination of Unfitness 64
C.	Abandonment of Intent to Repair Procedures 66
Annon	div 2 Affidavit to Obtain Administrative Inspection Warrant
Appen	dix 2 Affidavit to Obtain Administrative Inspection Warrant 67
Appen	dix 3 Related Statutes 71
Index	93

Introduction

Local government officials are all too familiar with the negative effects of a slowly deteriorating house. Neighbors complain as the front lawn gradually becomes overgrown, the exterior falls into unsightly (but not uninhabitable) disrepair, and the owner seems disinclined to do anything about it. Some residential structures deteriorate because they are vacant or abandoned, while others are occupied but neglected by the owners. The neighbors worry about the effect of such an eyesore on property values in the vicinity, about how far into decline the dwelling might slide, and about its ripple effects on the character of the community. The degree of disrepair may vary widely from complaint to complaint: from a house with merely an overgrown lawn and a broken ornamental light to a house with broken windows and a propensity to attract vagrants. In some cases, homeowners associations may be able to rely on restrictive covenants to police the condition of neighborhood homes, but even if these covenants are in place, enforcement may be too difficult or expensive for associations to undertake. It is not uncommon, therefore, for citizens to request local government assistance in addressing a problem with a deteriorating dwelling.¹

Local governments, for their part, seek to regulate these dwellings for their own reasons: abating hazards to occupants and neighbors, maintaining property values (and therefore property tax revenue), eliminating blighting influences in a neighborhood, reducing crime, and preserving affordable housing stock, among others.² Local governing boards must therefore understand the limits of their regulatory authority.

To that end, this publication discusses the authority available under North Carolina law for local governments to address the problem of deteriorating dwellings, with a particular emphasis on repair-oriented intervention—in other words, intervention prior to the point at which a structure becomes so dilapidated that it is a better candidate for demolition than for repair.³ Repair-oriented code enforcement occurs at an early stage in a

dwelling's slide into disrepair; it is undertaken by local governments that are not content to stand idly by as dwellings deteriorate. These governments would prefer to maintain their housing stock in a reasonably good state of repair rather than wait until condemnation is necessary.

Once a dwelling is essentially beyond repair, of course, code enforcement must necessarily become more demolition-oriented, relying upon condemnation authority to eliminate the blighted structure. This publication will not address demolition-oriented code enforcement in much detail. The methods discussed herein, while applicable to all local governments, will be of greatest interest to local governments seeking to enact repair-oriented policies.

Another code enforcement topic that will not receive much treatment in this publication is building codes. While building codes will almost certainly be incorporated into any code enforcement program enacted by a local government, their primary purpose is to establish construction standards and, as a result, they tend to be less helpful with dwellings that were adequately constructed but have since been neglected. A deteriorating dwelling may exhibit outward appearance or other problems that are symptomatic of neglect before a building code violation can be observed or detected. The point of a repair-oriented code enforcement policy is to bring neglected and deteriorating dwellings to the attention of public officials sooner so that the dwellings can be repaired *before* they cause harm to occupants or the neighborhood. Such a policy requires going beyond building codes.

In North Carolina, other than the authority to preserve historic structures, there are two primary sources of statutory authority for repair-oriented residential code enforcement:⁴ (1) the general police power for the regulation and abatement of "acts, omissions, or conditions, detrimental to the health, safety, or welfare of its citizens and the peace and dignity of the [city or county]"⁵ and (2) minimum housing standards.⁶ After dissecting and explaining the complex patchwork of statutes and case law from which these authorities are derived, this publication will explore how counties and cities can tailor local ordinances to meet specific needs.

Chapter 1 introduces the broad array of statutorily granted tools local governments might employ to deal with dwellings in varying stages of neglect. Chapter 2 elaborates on the general police power, the principal source of authority for regulating a dwelling when it first begins to deteriorate, and discusses how that power is limited by state statutes governing minimum housing standards (hereinafter "minimum housing statutes"). Chapter 3 then turns to the minimum housing statutes to examine their

operation and limitations. Chapter 4 concludes by analyzing how the police power and minimum housing statutes can be applied together in the context of a hypothetical repair-oriented housing code.

Notes

- 1. A dwelling, as the term is used in this publication, is owner-occupied or rental residential property. Dwellings can be single-family homes, multifamily residential buildings, and residential components of mixed-use structures. Buildings under construction are beyond the scope of this publication.
- 2. For an analysis of these local government rationales in the context of vacant dwellings, see C. Tyler Mulligan, *Toward a Comprehensive Program for Regulating Vacant or Abandoned Dwellings in North Carolina: The General Police Power, Minimum Housing Standards, and Vacant Property Registration,* 32 Campbell L. Rev. 1, 2–3 (2009), *available at* http://law.campbell.edu/lawreview/articles/32-1-1.pdf.
- 3. This publication expands on a similar analysis applied in the context of vacant property registration in Mulligan, note 2 above.
- 4. Code enforcement authority is also available for nonresidential buildings (see, e.g., N.C. Gen. Stat. (hereinafter G.S.) \$ 160A-439) and for historic structures (G.S. 160A-400.11 and G.S. 160A-400.14). This publication focuses on local government authority to regulate dwellings not designated as historic.
 - 5. G.S. 160A-174(a) (cities) and G.S. 153A-121(a) (counties).
 - 6. G.S. 160A-441 through G.S. 160A-450.