	E OF NORTH CAROLINA	IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE
	COUNTY	DISTRICT COURT DIVISION
		FILE CVD
		IV-D
COUN	NTY OF,)
on beh)
	;)
	Plaintiff,	ORDER SETTING ASIDE
) A PATERNITY ORDER
	V.)
)
	,)
	Defendant.)
4 D'		d was heard by the undersigned Judge presiding over
		North Carolina on the day of, 20
upon n	notion of the Defendant.	
	vyos mussant	t on habelf of the Plaintiff the County of
		t on behalf of the Plaintiff, the County of County Child Support Enforcement
	y (hereinafter referred to as "the age	
Agenc	y (heremarter referred to as the age	mcy),
		, custodial parent of the minor child(ren)***, was
presen		, custodiai parent of the inmol child(ich) , was
presen	,	
		, the Defendant was
presen		 ,
•		
		, counsel for the Defendant, was
presen	t.	
	BASED ON THE EVIDENCE PI	RESENTED, THE COURT FINDS THE
FOLL	OWING FACTS:	
1.		with his motion by on or
	about	·
_		
2.	This matter is before this Court on	a motion filed by the Defendant pursuant to G.S. §
	49-14/§ 110-132 on	, 20, to set aside a determination of paternity
	entered in file number CVD	on
2	The underlying natematry and arrays	dataminad by (agreent and an violuntary symmet
3.	The underlying paternity order was	determined by (consent order, voluntary support
*** In	the avent that there are multiple shi	ldren, drafter will need multiple findings of ¶¶ 3-6.
' 111	agreement, etc.) entered on	iden, drafter will need multiple midnigs of 111 3-0.

	This determination of paternity naming this Plaintiff as the biological father of the minor child(ren) at issue here,, has not been previously set aside.				
	When the paternity order was entered certain acts were committed by the custodial parent which constituted fraud in that (s)he:				
	OR				
	When the paternity order was entered the Defendant signed the under duress in that:				
	OR				
	When the paternity order was entered the custodial parent and the Defendant erred and committed mutual mistake in that				
	OR .				
	When the paternity order was entered the Defendant erred by committing excusable neglect in that he:				
	The Defendant filed this motion on				
	On, 20, this Court entered an order that genetic testing be conducted of the custodial parent, the Defendant, and the minor child(ren).				
	The genetic test results were reviewed by this Court on, 20				
	The genetic test results excluded the Defendant from being the biological father of the minor child(ren).				
	OR				
	The genetic test results failed to exclude the Defendant from being the biological father of the minor child(ren) and established that the probability of parentage for the minor				

	child(ren) here at issue as%.			
10.	This Court finds by clear, cogent and convincing evidence that:(findings why the Court believes that the Defendant is not the biological father)			
11.	The Defendant acknowledged paternity of the minor child(ren) in that he:			
12.	However, at the time that the Defendant acknowledged paternity of the minor child(ren) he did not know that he was not the biological father of the child(ren) in that:			
13.	The Defendant has not adopted the minor child(ren) here at issue.			
14.	The Defendant has not legitimated the minor child(ren) here at issue.			
15.	The Defendant did not provide for the birth of the minor child(ren) by means of heterologous insemination in accordance with G.S. § 49A-1.			
16.	The Defendant has not prevented any other man from asserting his paternal rights in regard to the minor child(ren) here at issue.			
THE	BASED ON THE FOREGOING FINDINGS OF FACT, THE COURT MAKES FOLLOWING CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:			
1.	This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter in this action.			
2.	Genetic test results excluded the Defendant from being the biological father of the minor child(ren).			
	OR			
	Genetic test results failed to exclude the Defendant from being the biological father of the minor child(ren) and established that the probability of parentage for the minor child(ren) here at issue as%.			
3.	This Court concludes by clear, cogent and convincing evidence that the Defendant is not the biological father of the minor child(ren) here at issue.			
4.5.	The paternity order in this matter was entered as a result of (Pick the appropriate one and place in the sentence - fraud, duress, mutual mistake or excusable neglect). The Defendant's motion to set aside the underlying paternity determination entered on			
	,, should be granted.			

BASED ON THE FOREGOING FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, THE COURT ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

1.	child entered by the District Court of County on be set aside is herel				
2.	The Defendant is determined not to be the father of the minor child.				
3.	This effect of this order is to set aside the paternity order. Any separate orders involving the Defendant regarding the minor child, including, but not limited to, orders regarding the establishment and/or payments of child support and/or child support arrearage remain in full force and effect unless and until further orders issue from this Court or a court competent jurisdiction after proper notice and motion.				
	This the day of, 20				
	District Court Judge Presiding				

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the foregoing document, an Order Setting Aside A Paternity Order, on all parties to this action by depositing a copy of same in the United States mail, postage prepaid, addressed to (pick those that are applicable):

	1.	IV-D Attorney On behalf of Plaintiff	County
	2.	(Custodial parent, if a party)	
	3.	Defendant/Defendant's Attorney	
This the day of		, 20	
		IV-D Attorney On behalf of Plaintiff	County
		<u>OR</u> Defendant/Defendant's At	ttorney