

# **Details, Details, Details. . .**

Companion to “Motor Vehicle Liens:  
A Quick Reference Guide for  
North Carolina Magistrates”

## ***Stage 1: Before the Hearing***

**A lien begins** when a lienor acquires possession of the vehicle (it's called a possessory lien), and it ends when the lienor voluntarily gives up possession, or when the lienor is paid the full amount owed. No written lien is filed with the Division of Motor Vehicles (DMV) or with the clerk.

**A lien terminates** if the lienor loses possession. This loss of possession must be with the lienor's consent, however, or because of a judge's order. If the lienor loses possession because the vehicle is taken without his consent, the lien continues to exist. On the other hand, if the lienor lets the debtor take the vehicle because he agrees to pay what he owes, the lien ends. Even if the debtor does not pay, or brings the vehicle back for additional work, the lien is not revived. The former lienholder will have to bring a contract action to recover his charges (although he may assert a new lien if the debtor once again refuses to pay for the new work done).

**Payment also ends a lien.** Payment may be made by owner, secured party, or legal possessor, and it must be for full amount secured by the lien (NOTE: this is contract amount, not reasonable value) plus reasonable storage fees.

### **First Steps in Enforcement**

**The first step a lienor must take** to enforce his lien is to file an unclaimed vehicle report with DMV (DMV form LT-260, Rev. 9/12). When this report may be filed depends upon the location of the vehicle. If the vehicle has been unclaimed in a place of business, the report may be filed after 10 days. If the vehicle has been abandoned on a landowner's property, the report may be filed after 30 days. In either case, failure to file this notice within five days after the appropriate date limits the amount of storage charges the lienor can charge. After the five days have passed, if the lienor hasn't notified DMV that he has the vehicle, he can't charge for storage until he DOES notify DMV.

Example: David Debtor takes his car to Eddie's Garage, but can't pay Eddie's bill. Eddie waits ten days (until March 10), as is required, but gets distracted by ACC basketball and forgets to notify DMV that he has the car for 2 months. He remembers and sends in the form on May 10.

Eddie cannot collect storage for the period between March 15 (5 days after the ten-day period is up) and May 15.

**The second step a lienor must take** to enforce a lien is to file a notice of intent to sell the vehicle. This notice too is filed with DMV (DMV form LT-262). There are special rules regulating when notice may be filed: If the only charges are for towing and storage, the lienor may file this notice when the charges have been unpaid for 10 days. The result is that this notice sometimes may be filed simultaneously with the report to DMV that a vehicle is unclaimed. In all other cases, the lienor must wait to file this notice until charges have been unpaid for 30 days.

**DMV takes the next step:** When DMV receives notice that the lienor plans to sell the vehicle, it sends out a certified letter, return receipt requested, to all interested parties. Interested parties include the owner of the vehicle, any secured parties, and the person with whom the lienholder contracted, if not the owner.

**Contents of notice:** This notice contains the following information: name of the lienholder, nature of services performed, amount of the lien claimed, and statement of intent to sell the vehicle to satisfy the lien. This notice also informs the recipient of his or her right to request a judicial hearing to determine whether the lien is valid. If one of the recipients wants a hearing, he must ask for one within ten days by notifying DMV.

**After DMV sends out this certified letter,** one of three things may happen: (1) all parties receive notice and none request a hearing; (2) not all parties receive notice; or (3) one or more parties request a hearing.

- (1) **If all parties receive notice and none request a hearing,** DMV authorizes the lienor to sell the vehicle and no court proceeding is required. This sale must be conducted according to the rules set out in G.S. 44A. (See **Stage 3**, beginning on p. 13)
- (2) **If one or more of the parties do not receive notice** that the lienor intends to sell the vehicle (i.e., the identity of the owner or other party cannot be determined, or a certified letter is returned to DMV as undeliverable), some further proceeding is required.

If the names and addresses for all parties are known but the certified letter is returned as undeliverable, the lienor may file a special proceeding before the clerk or bring an action in small claims or district court.

If the name of the owner is unknown and the vehicle has a fair market value of less than \$800, the lienor may file a special proceeding before the clerk or bring an action in small claims or district court.

Any other case involving inadequate notice must be brought in small claims or district court to establish the lien.

- (3) **If any person receiving notice requests a hearing**, the lienor must bring an action in small claims or district court.

## ***Stage 2: The Hearing***

### **Procedure for hearings**

**Magistrates may hear actions** to enforce motor vehicle liens if assigned to do so by the chief district court judge.

Note: These actions **must be filed in county in which claim arose**, not county of defendant's residence.

The **amount in controversy** is the amount of the lien, not the value of the motor vehicle.

**Any person with an interest in the motor vehicle should be made a party** to this action. This always includes the owner and secured parties. An unknown owner may be sued using description of vehicle. For example, the action may be brought against "unknown owner of white Pontiac Grand Prix, VIN #64532339866678."

**Secured parties** have an interest in these actions because motor vehicle liens "beat" all other liens. As a result, sale of the motor vehicle will destroy the secured party's lien. The secured party is entitled to any surplus after the expenses of sale and the amount of the motor vehicle lien are subtracted from the sale price. In some cases, however, the secured party may wish to protect its interests by paying off the lien amount.

## Service of process

**If the defendant is known**, the same methods of service apply as usual in small claims cases, with one exception: if the defendant cannot be served by usual methods using "due diligence", service by publication is allowed.

**If the defendant is unknown**, he is designated by description (see example above, on preceding page) and is served by publication.

### **There are special rules for service by publication:**

- Publication must be in the county where the action is pending.
- Publication must be in a newspaper qualified for legal advertising and circulated in the county where action is pending.
  - Publication must occur once a week for 3 successive weeks.

The publication must contain the following information:

- ✓ Court in which action is filed.
- ✓ Must be directed to defendant sought to be served;
- ✓ Must state that a pleading has been filed seeking relief;
- ✓ State the nature of the relief being sought;
- ✓ Require defendant to make defense within 40 days after date stated in notice (i.e., date of first publication);
- ✓ State that failure to respond will result in plaintiff seeking requested relief;
- ✓ Must be subscribed by plaintiff and give his address.

Statutory form for published notice (G.S. 1A-1, Rule 4(j1)):

NOTICE OF SERVICE OF PROCESS BY PUBLICATION  
STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA \_\_\_\_\_ COUNTY

In the \_\_\_\_\_ Court

Title of action

To (Person to Be Served):

Take notice that a pleading seeking relief against you has been filed in the above-entitled action. The nature of the relief being sought in as follows: (State nature.)

You are required to make defense to such pleading not later than (\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_) and upon your failure to do so the party seeking service against you will apply to the court for the relief sought.

This, the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_(Party)\_  
\_\_\_\_\_(Address)

Proof of service by publication: Plaintiff must file two affidavits with the clerk (to be filed in shuck), one explaining why service by publication was required, and the other an affidavit from the publisher of the newspaper showing notice and specifying the first and last dates of publication.

## Three kinds of actions (and three kinds of liens)

In the typical case, a lienor appears in small claims court **seeking to establish a motor vehicle lien**. To “win,” he must prove two things: that a valid lien exists, and the amount of the lien. The **proof required to demonstrate that a valid lien exists depends on the type of lien involved**, and the first thing a magistrate must do (after checking service of process) is determine what kind of lien is asserted. There are three possibilities:

- 1) A lien under G.S. 44A-2(d) (sometimes referred to in this material as “**RSTS in OCB**”). This lien is available to persons or businesses who repair, service, tow, or store motor vehicles in the ordinary course of business.
- 2) A lien under G.S. 20-77(d) (sometimes referred to in this material as “**GRPS for public**”). This lien is available to persons or businesses, who garage, repair, park, or store motor vehicles for the public.
- 3) A lien asserted by a **landowner** because of an abandoned motor vehicle on his property

**Each lien has different elements and requires different evidence.** A lienor who claims to have the lien listed first above (“**RSTS in OCB**”) must show that he or she repairs, services, tows, or stores motor vehicles in the ordinary course of business. (NOTE: This lien is not available to a person who works on cars as a hobby, or as a favor, for example.) The lienor must also demonstrate that he entered into an express or implied contract for one of these services with the owner or legal possessor of the vehicle. A vehicle’s “owner” may be the person with legal title or his agent, a lessee, a secured party, or a debtor entrusted with possession of the vehicle by a secured party. A legal possessor includes anyone in possession with permission of owner, or entitled to possession by operation of law (for example, a law

enforcement officer who acts with statutory authority to have a vehicle towed). The rest of what the lienor must show is simple: that the vehicle is in her possession, that proper notice has been given to DMV, and that the charges for services remain unpaid. Finally, the lienor must introduce evidence in support of the amount of the requested lien: what services were performed, the reasonable cost of these services, and the amount and justification for the additional expense of storage.

**What if the lienor claims a lien under G.S. 20-77(d) (“GRPS for public”)?** The showing he must make is (only slightly) different. This lienor must show that he operates a business garaging, repairing, parking, or storing vehicles “for the public”. Additional requirements for the establishment of this sort of lien are that the vehicle has remained unclaimed at the establishment for ten days, that an unclaimed vehicle report was properly filed with DMV, that the lienor has possession, and that the charges have been unpaid. This lien overlaps significantly with that discussed above, and a lienor who has provided repair services will typically use that lien. The G.S. 20-77(d) lien is most often asserted when the lienor is a parking deck or similar business. Again, the lienor must also support his claim of lien in a particular amount by introducing evidence of reasonable charges for the services provided.

**What if the lienor is asserting a landowner’s lien?** The proof for this lien is very straightforward. The lienor must show only that he is the owner of land on which the motor vehicle in question has been abandoned for at least 30 days, and that a proper report has been made to DMV. The amount of the lien is established by evidence of reasonable storage charges. Note that this lien is improperly used by a landlord seeking to recover damages arising out of a rental agreement; the correct lien in that case is a landlord’s lien under G.S. 44A-2(e) (commercial lease) or 44A-2(e2) (lease for a mobile home lot).

## Speaking of Storage . . .

Storage is a special category of damages because of the danger that unscrupulous plaintiffs might allow a vehicle to remain on their premises for long periods of time in order to pile up charges for storage. To discourage this practice, two special rules apply to this particular item of damages:

Delayed Notice to DMV: Remember that lienors must notify DMV that they are in possession of an unclaimed vehicle after ten days have passed (30 for a landowner lien), and that they have five days to do so. Failure to make timely notification to DMV bars the lienor from asserting storage charges for the period from the fifteenth (or 35<sup>th</sup>, as the case may be) day of the lien to whenever DMV is properly notified. Note that late notification carries with it the additional requirement that the lienor must use certified mail.

Delay in Filing Action to Enforce the Lien: A lienor must file an action to enforce the lien within 180 days after storage begins or else forfeit the right to collect storage for the period after 180 days.

Note Different Rule in Express Contract for Storage: In a case in which storage is the service contracted for, it makes no sense to start the clock when storage begins. (In a one-year storage contract under this rule, the lienor would lose the right to assert a lien for storage fees halfway through the contract period!) In these cases, the clock begins to run from date of default, and the action must be brought within 120 days thereafter.

If the magistrate determines that a valid lien exists (regardless of which type of lien it is) and determines the reasonable value of the services provided and storage costs, the next step is to enter judgment



authorizing the lienor to enforce the lien and specifying the monetary amount of the lien. Note that this is not a money judgment, despite the fact that the magistrate must determine the amount of the lien. This judgment is, instead, a judicial determination that the lienor has a lien. This determination clears the way for DMV to authorize the lienor to go ahead with the sale, so that he may collect the amount of the lien. In this action to establish a lien, the appropriate AOC form for judgment is CVM-402.

**The second kind of action occurs when the vehicle's owner wants his car back.** These cases, obviously, do not involve abandoned vehicles and unknown owners, at least not by the time they get to small claims court. Instead, these cases arise when a lienor refuses to hand over a vehicle because of unpaid charges, and the vehicle owner (or other person with an interest in the vehicle) responds by suing to recover his vehicle. In doing so, the owner will necessarily be attacking the validity and/or amount of the lien. As a result, the legal issues that the magistrate must determine are all but identical to those discussed above, even though the parties have switched places.

The owner institutes this action by filing a complaint (CVM-900M), and the procedure follows that of any other small claims case. At trial, the owner has the burden of demonstrating by the greater weight of the evidence that (1) the vehicle is not subject to a valid lien, or (2) that the amount of the lien differs from that asserted by the defendant. As to the first, the magistrate must first determine which kind of lien is at issue, so that s/he may identify the essential elements that apply. In order to defeat the defendant's contention that he holds the vehicle by authority of a lien, the plaintiff must offer evidence negating at least one of these essential elements.

Sometimes the plaintiff more or less concedes the fact that a lien exists but challenges the amount asserted by the lienor. In making this determination, there are two important factors for the magistrate to remember: **First, the amount of the lien is for the reasonable value of services provided, combined with storage.** This amount may or may not be the same as the contract amount, although evidence of the amount agreed to by the parties may be relevant in determining what charges are reasonable. **Second, the amount of the lien is established by the amount set out in the complaint, unless the lienor files a contrary statement within three days of being served.**

The amount of lien eventually ascertained by the magistrate may be affected by several legal principles related to the rules set out above. As to “reasonable expenses” of storage, the limitations discussed on p. 8 may operate to decrease the amount a lienor may eventually recover. Also, the rule giving the lienor only three days after service of summons to file a written contest of the amount of lien set out in the complaint requires an unusually rapid response and may catch defendants by surprise. Note that in applying the three-day rule, G.S.1A-1, Rule 6(a) provides that the day the complaint is served is not counted; neither are intervening Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays.

One issue that arises sometimes in disputes about the cost of servicing or repairing motor vehicles involves the **requirement that providers of these services furnish customers with a written estimate. The Motor Vehicle Repair Act** (G.S. 20-354 – 354.9) contains a number of provisions that at first blush appear to be important in resolving cases involving motor vehicle liens. The Act applies to repair and related services involving charges of \$350 or more and establishes a right to sue for damages for violation of its provisions. The Act requires covered businesses to furnish a written estimate in advance of providing services, and it prohibits substantial deviation from the estimate as well as a number of other fraudulent or deceptive practices. In addition, the Act prohibits service providers from retaining possession of a vehicle because of unpaid charges when certain conditions are met.

The scope of the Motor Vehicle Repair Act is not as far-reaching as it first appears, however. First, while the Act addresses the situation in which the final bill is significantly higher than the initial estimate (prohibiting the service provider from retaining possession of the vehicle in these cases), it does not apply to the common situation in which no estimate is provided at all. Second, the right to damages caused by a violation of the Act makes little sense in a motor vehicle lien case, in which the amount of the lien is based on the reasonable value of services actually received. In such a case, the plaintiff will have a difficult time indeed showing actual damages caused by the lack of a written estimate. While a magistrate may well be presented with important cases growing out of violations of the Motor Vehicle Repair Act, particularly those involving allegations of unfair trade practices, it is more likely to be a red herring in motor vehicle lien cases.

**“I want my car back!”**

## **Cash bonds to the rescue**

When a car owner wants to immediately regain possession of the vehicle, he may deposit with the clerk cash equal to the full amount of the lien alleged by the lienor. The clerk will then issue an Order for the Release of Property Held for Lien (CVM-901M), directing the lienor to release the vehicle to the owner. This remedy is available in any case in which a lienholder retains possession of a motor vehicle under claim of lien and is enforceable by the contempt power of the court.

One issue sometimes arises when an owner files a complaint and cash bond at the same time, and the clerk immediately issues an order for release after accepting cash in the amount specified in the complaint. Remember that the law provides the lienor with a three-day period in which to challenge the amount set out in the complaint. Often, the complaint will set out the amount allegedly due for repairs or other services but will not include the additional amount the lienor seeks for storage. The better practice would be for the clerk to delay accepting the cash bond until the lienor has had opportunity to specify the amount of the asserted lien.

The presence or absence of a cash bond has significant effect on the magistrate’s judgment, as discussed below.

**Entering judgment in actions by the owner to recover possession of a motor vehicle:** At the conclusion of the hearing, the magistrate enters judgment on form CVM-902M. The details of the judgment depend on whether the plaintiff has deposited a cash bond.

In cases in which plaintiff has not deposited a cash bond, remember that the lienor has the vehicle and wants to sell it in order to get the money he claims to be owed. In these cases, if the owner proves that no lien exists, the judgment will state that the plaintiff is entitled to possession

of the vehicle and the defendant is not entitled to a lien. If, on the other hand, the owner fails to prove that no lien exists, the judgment will indicate that the defendant is entitled to retain possession of the vehicle and to proceed to enforce his lien in the amount determined by the magistrate, unless the plaintiff forestalls sale by paying defendant the amount of the lien. The last possibility, of course, is that the plaintiff fails to appear, in which case the action is dismissed and the lienor is left in the same position he occupied before the action was filed: in possession of the vehicle and with the remedies accordingly available to him.

In cases in which plaintiff has deposited a cash bond: remember that in this case the plaintiff has the vehicle, and the clerk has the money. The fact that the money has been paid to the clerk has significant implications for the judgment. If the plaintiff prevails, proving that there is no lien, the judgment will indicate that he retains possession of the vehicle and direct the clerk to return the money plaintiff paid in. If the defendant prevails, and the magistrate finds that a valid lien exists, then the judgment will direct the clerk to disburse the amount of the bond based on the amount of the lien as set out in the judgment. The plaintiff, of course, is entitled to retain possession of the vehicle, in light of the fact that the lien has been satisfied. Finally, if the plaintiff fails to appear, the case must be dismissed and the magistrate will direct the clerk to disburse to the defendant the amount of the bond

**The third kind of action, less common, arises when the lienholder has lost possession of the vehicle and seeks to recover possession and to enforce the lien.** The special element in this case is possession. Remember that being in possession of the motor vehicle is an essential element of all three liens. What is a lienholder to do, then, if the owner or someone connected to him removes the vehicle without his permission? If his loss of possession was indeed involuntary, then the lienor must seek to regain possession in order to successfully assert his claim of a lien.

The action begins when the lienor files a complaint (CVM-903M) asking for return of the vehicle and for a determination of the amount of lien. The amount of lien set out in the complaint will be binding on the parties and the magistrate unless the defendant (generally the owner, as well as secured parties) files a contrary statement within three days of service. (See the discussion above, on pp. 9-10, of legal rules relevant to this.) At trial, the lienholder has the burden of proving the existence of one of the three types of liens by the greater weight of the evidence,

as well as the amount of the lien, assuming the defendant filed a timely statement challenging the amount.

In this action, as in the others, the owner or secured parties may post a cash bond in the amount of the asserted lien and thus retain possession of the vehicle. The presence or absence of a cash bond will be reflected in the judgment eventually entered by the magistrate.

If no cash bond has been posted: If the lienholder demonstrates a valid lien, including the reasonable amount of the charges, the judgment of the magistrate will indicate his right to regain possession of the vehicle and to proceed to enforce the lien in the amount determined by the magistrate (or, if applicable, in the unchallenged amount set out in the complaint). If, on the other hand, the lienholder fails in his proof, the magistrate will enter an order of dismissal, leaving the defendant in possession of the car and preventing further enforcement of the alleged lien.

If a cash bond has been posted: If the lienholder demonstrates a valid lien, the judgment of the magistrate will direct the clerk to disburse the appropriate amount of the cash to the plaintiff and return any surplus to the defendant. (The same issue as to amount is present in this instance as above; the lien will be in the amount set out in the complaint if defendant did not challenge it, and in the amount determined by the magistrate to be reasonable if the complaint amount was challenged by the defendant). The defendant will of course retain possession of the vehicle. If the lienholder fails in his proof, the magistrate will dismiss the action and direct return of the cash bond to the defendant (who also keeps the vehicle, of course).

### ***Stage 3: After the hearing***

After filing notice of intent to sell a vehicle pursuant to a lien, and following any judicial hearing that may be required because it is requested or because of notice problems, the lienholder is ready to pursue his remedy. If no judicial hearing was required, the lienor received authorization to conduct a sale from DMV soon after the certified letters containing notice of intent to sell were sent out. If a hearing was required, the lienor must send a certified copy of the judgment to DMV, which will then authorize the lienor to proceed with sale. In either case, the next hurdle for the lienor is to conduct the sale of the motor vehicle in a lawful manner.

The first decision confronting the lienor at this point is whether to hold a private sale or a public sale. The rules for both are set out in G.S. 44A-4, and won't be set out here in detail. The general provisions are as follows:

## **Public sale**

- ✓ A public sale is required on request of any person with an interest in the property.
- ✓ Notice of sale must be sent to DMV and all interested parties at least 20 days beforehand, posted at courthouse door, and published in newspaper (unless vehicle is worth less than \$3,500).
- ✓ Notice must specify a number of things, including the date, time, and location of the sale.
- ✓ The sale must be held between 10:00 AM and 4:00 PM, on a day other than Sunday.
- ✓ The lienor is allowed to purchase the property at a public sale (and only at a public sale).

## **Private sale**

- ✓ Private sale must be conducted in "commercially reasonable" manner.
- ✓ Notice of intended sale must be given to DMV at least 20 days beforehand.
- ✓ Notice of intended sale, containing specified information including date, time, and place of sale, must be provided to owner and other interested parties at least 30 days beforehand. (This notice may be combined with initial notice of intent to sale setting out 10-day period for responding and challenging lien.)
- ✓ Private sale is not allowed if any interested party objects, asks for public sale.
- ✓ Lienor may not purchase "directly or indirectly" at private sale, and any such attempted purchase is voidable.

## **Damages for violation of statute**

If a lienor fails substantially to follow the statutory rules for sale of a motor vehicle subject to lien, he is liable to the owner (or any other injured person) for \$100, reasonable attorney fees, and any actual damages (defined as difference between fair market value of vehicle at time and place of sale and actual sale amount).

## **After the sale**

The proceeds of sale are applied first to expenses of sale (including reasonable storage fees for period following notice of sale), and then to satisfaction of the lien, with any surplus going to “the person entitled thereto” (i.e., other lienholders, and finally to the debtor). Any purchaser for value at a properly conducted sale takes the property free and clear of any other claims or liens. The same rule applies to a purchaser who buys at a sale that was not properly conducted, assuming that the purchaser had no knowledge (or reasonable way of knowing) of the defect. These rules apply even if the purchaser is the lienor.

