STA	TE OF NORTH CAROLINA	File No.				
	County	In The General Court Of Justice				
Name Of L	STATE VERSUS	FELONY JUDGMENT FINDINGS OF AGGRAVATING AND MITIGATING FACTORS				
Offense		(STRUCTURED SENTENCING) G.S. 15A-1340.16				
		ctors and mitigating factors should be made only for the most serious offense.				
Separate findings of aggravating factors and mitigating factors should be made for each offense that is not consolidated. AGGRAVATING FACTORS						
1.	The Defendant:					
	a. induced others to participate in the commission of the offense.					
2.	b. occupied a position of leadership or dominance of other participants in the commission of the offense. The defendant joined with more than one other person in committing the offense and was not charged with committing a conspiracy.					
□2a.	The offense was committed for the benefit of, or at the direction of, any criminal street gang, with the specific intent to promote, further, or assist in any criminal conduct by gang members, and the defendant was not charged with committing a conspiracy.					
□3. □4.	The offense was committed for the purpose of: a. avoiding or preventing a lawful arrest. The defendant was: a hired to commit the offense. b. effecting an escape from custody. b. paid to commit the offense.					
5.	The offense was committed to:					
6.	b. hinder the lawful exercise of a governmental function or the enforcement of laws. The offense was committed against or proximately caused serious injury to a present or former law enforcement officer, employee of the Department of Correction, jailer, fireman, emergency medical technician, ambulance attendant, social worker, justice or judge, clerk or assistant or deputy clerk of court, magistrate, prosecutor, juror, or witness against the defendant, while engaged in the performance of that person's official duties or because of the exercise of that person's official duties.					
<b>□</b> 6a.	The offense was committed against or proximately caused	serious harm as defined in G.S. 14-163.1 or death to a law arch and rescue animal (Applies to offenses committed on or after December 1,				
☐7. ☐8.	The offense was especially heinous, atrocious or cruel. The defendant knowingly created a great risk of death to more than one person by means of a weapon or device which would normally be hazardous to the lives of more than one person.					
9.	The defendant held public office at the time of the offense and the offense related to the conduct of the office. The defendant:					
☐ 11. ☐ 12.	a. was armed with a deadly weapon at the time of the crime. b. used a deadly weapon at the time of the crime. The victim was: a. very young. b. very old. c. mentally infirm. d. physically infirm. e. handicapped.					
12. 12a.	The defendant committed the offense while on pretrial release on another charge. The defendant has, during the 10-year period prior to the commission of the offense for which the defendant is being sentenced, been found by a court of this State to be in willful violation of the conditions of probation imposed pursuant to a suspended sentence or been found by the Post-Release Supervision and Parole Commission to be in willful violation of a condition of parole or post-release supervision imposed pursuant to release from incarceration. The Court finds this aggravating factor beyond a reasonable doubt. (Applies to offenses committed on or after December 1, 2008.)					
<u> </u> 13.     14.	The defendant involved a person under the age of 16 in the The offense involved:	commission of the crime.				
	<ul> <li>a. an attempted taking of property of great monetary value</li> <li>c. damage causing great monetary loss.</li> </ul>	d. an unusually large quantity of contraband.				
<u> </u> 15.     16.	- · ·	dence, including a domestic relationship, to commit the offense.				
16a.						
16b.	or was otherwise endangered by exposure to the drug, its ingredients, its by-products, or its waste. The offense is the manufacture of methamphetamine and was committed in a dwelling that is one of four or more contiguous					
17.						
	8a. The defendant has previously been adjudicated delinquent for an offense that would be a Class A, B, C, D, or E felony if					
☐ 19. ☐ 20.						
		actor(s) noted above and finds the supporting evidence to be beyond				
a reasonable doubt.  The jury finds these aggravating factors beyond a reasonable doubt.  There are no findings of any aggravating factors.						

			MITIGATING	FACTORS				
	Image: The defendant committed the offense under:         □       a. dureas which was insufficient to constitute a defense but significantly reduced the defendant's culpability.         □       c. dureas which was insufficient to constitute a defense but significantly reduced the defendant's culpability.         □       c. dureas which was insufficient to constitute a defense but significantly reduced the defendant's culpability.         □       c. mas a passive participant in the commission of the offense.         □       b. played a minor role in the commission of the offense.         □       a. mental condition that was insufficient to constitute a defense but significantly reduced the defendant's culpability for the offense.         □       b. played a minor role in the commission of the offense but significantly reduced the defendant's culpability for the offense.         □       a. mental condition that was insufficient to constitute a defense but significantly reduced the defendant's culpability for the offense.         □       b. physical condition that was insufficient to constitute a defense but significantly reduced the defendant's culpability for the offense.         □       b. physical condition that was insufficient to constitute a defense but significantly reduced the defendant's culpability for the offense.         □       b. played and match comparison of the offense significantly reduced the defendant's culpability for the offense.         □       b. initiad mental capacity at the time of the commission of the offense significantly reduced the defend							
	The Court makes n	o findings of any mitig	ating factors.					
DETERMINATION         1. The Court finds that:            the State provided the defendant with appropriate notice of the aggravating factor(s) in this case.            the defendant waived any notice requirements as to the aggravating factor(s) in this case.            2. The Court finds that the State included in its criminal pleading the statement required by G.S. 15A-924(a)(7), if necessary.            3. The Court, having considered the evidence and arguments presented at the trial and sentencing hearing, and based on the admission(s) and findings of aggravating and mitigating factors as noted above,             finds that the factors in aggravation outweigh the factors in mitigation and that an aggravated sentence is justified.             finds that the factors in mitigation outweigh the factors found above, because the sentence imposed is in the presumptive range.             Date        Name Of Presiding Judge (Type Or Print)								
		name or rresiding Judge (	אין די סקע דיוווון	orginature or Freshulling Judg				
1								