



### Budgeting

- Budgets do not have to be in place for the *receipt* of funds, but they must be in place before any disbursements may occur
- Local governments should adopt a grant project ordinance to cover the *life* of the grant resources
  - Statutes allow special revenue funds to adopt grant project ordinances
  - Segregates funds for budgeting, accounting, and reporting purposes
  - Provides an audit trail that is critical to facilitate Treasury reporting requirements
  - Budget authorization automatically carries forward into new budget years



### Accounting

- ARP funds are initially recorded as unearned revenue (a liability) until the governing board officially determines the use(s)
  - Unearned revenue vs. revenue classification is more of a reporting issue at fiscal year end
- Once use is determined, revenue will be recognized (would initially occur in the special revenue fund)

















### Premium Pay Previously Paid from ARP Special Revenue Fund Reclassified to General Fund • Entries in the ARP special revenue fund Cash \$150,000 Premium pay expenditures \$150,000 Transfer out - general fund \$150,000 Cash \$150,000 • Entries in the general fund Cash \$150,000 Transfer in - ARP special revenue fund \$150,000 Law enforcement premium pay expenditures \$150,000 Cash \$150,000

























### AUDIT CHALLENGES Starting in 2022 or 2023, spending ARPA dollars will push many units into "different" audit categories than they are used to Category is based on <u>spending, not receipts</u> Under \$100,000 spent in combined federal and state dollars, financial audit only \$100,000 or more spent in <u>combined federal and state dollars</u>, financial audit plus a Yellow Book audit \$500,000 or more spent in state dollars, financial audit, Yellow Book audit, and state single audit \$750,000 or more spent in federal dollars, financial audit, Yellow Book audit, and federal single audit



- Scenario 2 Linusville expends all of its Powell Bill funds, including its reserves, on street repairs, but expends no other state and federal funding during the year (\$45k + \$75k)
- Linusville will need a Yellow Book audit because it expended \$100,000 or more in combined state and federal grant funds
- Combined state and federal spending = \$120,000

### 29

- <u>Scenario 3</u> Linusville declares 100% of its ARPA funds to be revenue replacement funds under the standard allowance and moves all \$65,000 to the general fund to cover salaries and benefits in public safety. It also expends all of its Powell Bill funds, including reserves (\$120K total), and expends 100% of its DEQ grant of \$775k
- Linusville will need a federal single audit because it expended \$750,000 or more in federal dollars during the fiscal year
- Because the expenditure of ARPA dollars pushed Linusville over the \$750,000 single audit threshold, Linusville's auditor can take advantage of the alternative to a full-blown single audit

- Scenario 3 (cont.)
- Linusville also will need a Yellow Book audit because combined federal and state funds expended exceeded \$100,000
- Total federal spending = \$840,000
- Total combined state and federal spending = \$960,000



# AUDDIT CHABLEENGEES What is a Yellow Book audit? "The Yellow Book, formally the Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS), is the name given to the standards promulgated by the Comptroller General (of the Government Accountability Office) for carrying out thinancial audits of government organizations or programs and business entities that receive financial assistance from the federal government. The Yellow Book provides standards for carrying out both financial and performance audits. Performance audits concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether it is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine whether is concern evaluating a program or project to determine and program or project to determine a project to





**Preparation of draft financial statements in their entirety**, from a unit's trial balance or underlying accounting records, **creates significant threats to auditors' independence** that <u>will</u> require the application of safeguards to reduce the threats to an acceptable level (United States Government Accountability Office, Government Auditing Standards - 2018 Revision, p. 51) (emphasis added)

### 35

- The auditor should determine that management of the unit of government has designated an individual who possesses suitable skill, knowledge, or experience (SKE) to sufficiently oversee the non-audit services
- Identified person does not have to have the SKE to perform or reperform the services but must be able to have a sufficient understanding to oversee them
- The identified person must be able "to determine the reasonableness of the results of the non-audit services provided and to recognize a material error, omission, or misstatement in the results of the nonaudit services provided." (2018 Yellow Book, 3.79)



- Auditor must evaluate for SKE and must document that evaluation
  - Individual's work history, education, significant training courses



- Once the SKE person has been determined, the auditor has limited options under which s/he can continue to serve as an independent auditor and draft the financial report
- This is not an exclusive list but gives you some idea of how difficult it is for the auditor to provide both audit and non-audit services

Auditors can

- Not include individuals who provided the non-audit service as engagement team members
- Have another auditor, not associated with the engagement, review the engagement and non-audit work as appropriate
- Engage another audit organization to evaluate the results of the non-audit service
- Have another audit organization re-perform the non-audit service to the extent necessary to enable that other audit organization to take responsibility for the service.



### AUDIT CHALLENGES Audit Contract includes language asking units and auditors to identify who is drafting the financials and who is serving in SKE role If audit is GAAS (financial) audit only, do not need to complete the SKE information

### 43

## AUDIT CHALLENGES What can units do now to plan ahead? Based on planned and/or historic spending, determine what type of audit you will have Talk with auditor now if you think you will need a Yellow Book audit and auditor has been preparing your financial statements If unit is not planning to expend ARPA dollars until 2023, talk with auditor as part of your 2022 audit to plan ahead for 2023; unit may need to hire a third party to draft financials