DEFINING INCOME

- #1: Plaintiff's attorney offers affidavit of defendant stating income - signed 18 months before hearing; no other evidence of income offered.
 - Can affidavit alone support finding of present income?
 - If not, should child support action be dismissed?

- #2: Obligor received \$50,000 personal injury settlement three months before child support hearing.
 - Can entire award be counted as income?

- #3: Grandparents provide housing to custodial parent and children.
 - Is the rental value of housing counted as income of custodial parent?

Income When?

Order MUST contain finding of PRESENT actual income

- "Parents' current income at time the order is entered"
 - Guidelines
- Party's actual income at time order is made or modified"
 - Armstrong v. Droessler, 177 NC App 673 (2006)
 - *Holland*, 169 NC App 564 (2005)

Proof of Income

Who has burden of proof?????

- Probably the judge ③
- Verified through documentation of both current and past incomes." Guidelines
 - One full month of pay stubs, employer statement, receipts, expenses
 - Most recent tax return "to verify earnings over longer period of time"

Proof of Income

Sanctions can be imposed for failure to comply.
 Guidelines

Financial affidavit is binding

- Row v. Row, NC App (2007): trial court correct to use obligor's affidavit rather than testimony of obligor's expert witness at trial
- Signed statement by employer is admissible to prove income
 - GS 110-139(c1) both IV-D and non-IV-D

Using Past Income to Find Present

- "Court must determine gross income at time the support order was originally entered, not as of the time of remand *nor on the basis of the parent's average monthly income over the years preceding the trial."*
 - Holland v. Holland, 169 NC App 564 (2005)

Using Past Income to Find Present

"Legislature never contemplated the court would select the earnings for a single year in the past and use that as a basis for the award when that year does not fairly represent defendant's current nor the average of his earnings for several years."

Conrad v. Conrad, 252 NC 412 (1960)

Using Past Income to Find Present

- "While we believe the trial court could have used plaintiff's 2001 income to determine his [2002] income, the order fails to support this approach with the necessary findings of fact."
 - Holland

<u>;;;;;;;;;;</u>;

- Cannot use past to "impute" income or determine earning capacity
- But you can use past to determine present "capacity to continue to earn" the same amount in the future
 - Hartsell v. Hartsell, NC App (March 4, 2008)

Examples

- Problems on Appeal
 - Hodges v. Hodges, 147 NC App 478 (2001)
 - Williams, 635 SE2d 495 (2006)
 - Glass, 131 NC App 784 (1998)
 - Gatlin, NC App (unpublished Jan. 15, 2008)
- Upheld on Appeal
 - Hartsell, NC App (March 4, 2008)
 - Diehl, 177 NC App 642 (2006)
 - Spicer, 168 NC App 283 (2005)

- #1: Plaintiff's attorney offers affidavit of defendant stating income - signed 18 months before hearing; no other evidence of income offered.
 - Can amount in affidavit alone support finding of present income?
 - Not without more Williams
 - If not, should child support action be dismissed?
 - ????? No clear burden of proof

What is Income? Use Gross Income Before taxes, retirement, or any other withholding Includes "income from any source" Does not have to be income from employment Squires, 178 NC App 251 (2006)(all investment income) But cf. Gatlin, NC App (unpublished Jan. 15, 2008) Includes income from self-employment Gross receipts minus ordinary and necessary business expenses

Income From Any Source

Long list in guidelines

- Income received on "irregular, non-recurring or one-time basis"
- Court may "average or pro-rate the income over a specified period", or
- Require obligor to pay a percentage of his or her non-recurring income that is equivalent to the percentage of his or her recurring income paid for child support."

See Spicer (settlement proceeds)

Cf. Glass (bonuses)

Income From Any Source

Sale of a house?

- No not without evidence of gain
- *McKyer v. McKyer*, 179 NC App 132 (2006)

Sale of a truck?

- Of course must include "income from any source"
- Hartsell v. Hartsell, NC App (March 4, 2008)

Income from Any Source

- Gifts or maintenance from someone other than a party to the action
 - Spicer, 168 NC App 283 (\$300 included for rent-free housing provided by parents)
 - Williams, 179 NC App 838 (2006)(rent and utility payments paid by parent should be included)
 - Cf. Easter v. Easter, 344 NC 166 (1996)(payments from third parties should be factors to consider in deviation)
 - What about "maintenance" from new spouse?

Excluded from Income

- Means-tested public assistance programs"
 - Maybe educational loans: McKyer
- Alimony or maintenance received from party to action
- Income of children

- Miller v. Miller, 168 NC App 577 (2005) (adoption assistance payments are income of children)
- See Browne, 101 NC App 617 (1991)(estates of children not considered)
- Income of any person who is not a parent of the child
 - Kennedy, 107 NC App 695 (1992)(rental income belonging to new spouse)

- #2: Obligor received \$50,000 personal injury settlement three months before child support hearing.
 - Is entire award counted as income?
 - Yes probably
 - See Spicer, 168 NC App 283 (2005)(no exception for "pain and suffering" compensation
 - See Freeze, 159 NC App 228 (unpublished 2003)(error not to include lump sum workers' comp settlement)
 - No mention of when obligor received the payment)

- #3: Grandparents provide housing to custodial parent and children.
 - Is rental value of housing included as income of custodial parent?
 - Yes according to Williams
 - Argue/consider deviation instead??? Easter

What Is Potential Income?

Potential income is

- The amount of income that a parent
- Could reasonably be expected to receive
- If he or she took reasonable steps
- To fully exercise his or her capacity
- To earn income through employment
 - Or obtain income from other sources

Actual vs. Potential Income

- Actual income
 - Income actually received at time of hearing
- Potential income
 - Not actually received by parent
 - Imputed based on parent's capacity to earn
 - Based on work history, etc.
 - Treated as if actually received by parent

The "Bad Faith" Rule

- Court may *not* impute potential income unless
 - Parent is voluntarily unemployed
 - Or voluntarily underemployed
- and
 - Parent is acting in "bad faith"
 - Failure to exercise earning capacity due to
 - "Deliberate disregard" of duty to support child

The "Bad Faith" Rule

- Court must make *specific* finding of "bad faith"
 - Failure to make finding is reversible error *Ford v. Wright* (NC Ct. App. 2005)
- Evidence must support "bad faith" finding
 Voluntary reduction in income insufficient
 Pataky v. Pataky (NC Supreme Ct. 2004)

Determining Potential Income

Potential income generally must be based on

- Parent's "earning capacity"
 - Parent's employment potential & probable earnings
 - Parent's recent work history
 - Parent's occupational qualifications
 - Prevailing job opportunities in the community
 - Prevailing earning levels in the community

Determining Potential Income

Potential income generally shouldn't be less than

- Full-time minimum wage (approx. \$1,100 per month)
 - If parent is capable of working full-time

- Has no recent work history or vocational training
- And full-time minimum wage employment available

Determining Potential Income

Court must make *specific* findings of fact
 Regarding *amount* of potential income
 McKyer v. McKyer (NC Ct. App. 2006)
 Findings supported by evidence in record
 Can't *assume* parent's potential earnings
 Based solely on parent's prior earnings

Everything You Wanted to Know ...

- Family Law Bulletin #23 (April 2008)
 - "Imputing Potential Income to Parents"
 - General School of Government publications link:
 - www.sog.unc.edu (click "publications" & search catalogue)
 - Direct web link & free download:
 - www.sog.unc.edu/pubs/electronicversions/pdfs/flb22.p
 df

- Oligor testifies he has no income except unemployment of \$2000 per month
- He lost his job as accountant for SAS one year ago
- He has decided to go into private practice
- Custodial parent offers last two income tax returns showing gross income of \$180,000 each year

- Choice 1: Because he has lost his job and does not presently earn \$180,000, only use this amount if you impute income. Is going into private practice a deliberate disregard of child support obligation?
- Choice 2: \$2000 is the actual present income
- Choice 3: Would be within your discretion
- Choice 4: Can do it what would you want?
- Choice 5: ???? Other ideas?

- Obligor earned \$60,000 during year immediately preceding hearing from a landscaping business
- Earned average of \$60,000 each of five previous years
- Expert says drought will hurt business obligor "will be lucky" to pay expenses
- Expert's "best guess" is he'll earn \$30,000 this year

- Choice 1: Correct present income if you are not completely convinced by expert
 - See Hartsell (NC App March 4, 2008)
- Choice 2: Correct present income if you are convinced by expert
 - See *Glass*, 131 NC App 784 (1998)
- Choice 3: Probably not correct, unless there is testimony or evidence to support it
- Choice 4: Other ideas ?????

- 30 year-old obligor testifies to sporadic work history; presently unemployed
- Obligor is "able-bodied" but has low skill
- Tax return shows income from last year of \$15,000
- Obligor testifies she is looking for work but has no car

- Choice 1: Only if you impute can you support finding deliberate disregard?
- Choice 2: Same as Choice 1 can you impute? If so, is last full-time job more reflective of ability than income tax return? Need findings
- Choice 3: Maybe.
- Choice 4: Probably not. No clear burden of proof

- Obligor is tobacco farmer
- Tax returns for last 5 years show net losses
- Obligors testifies he has nothing but debt
- Custodial parent shows expenses of parties while living together (separated 6 months)
- Expenses show very comfortable lifestyle and new farm equipment each year

- Choice 1: probably not no clear burden
- Choice 2: maybe see Ahern, 63 NC App 728 (1983)(alimony case)
- Choice 3: Okay if have enough information to determine gross income and all reasonable expenses
- Choice 4: probably best choice
- Choice 5: Other ideas???