Hinnant & Hearsay: Getting a Child's Out of Court Statements into Evidence

Jessica Smith
School of Government, UNC-Chapel Hill



- (1) Identify common hearsay issues that arise in cases involving child witnesses
- (2) Gather the evidence relevant to those issues
- (3) Effectively argue those issues



Key Hearsay Issues in Child Victim Cases

- (1) Excited utterance exception
- (2) Purposes of medical diagnosis & treatment exception
- (3) Residual exceptions
- (4) Is it really hearsay?

Excited Utterances by Children – 803(2)	
	-
4	
	İ
Excited Utterances by Children – 803(2)	
A statement relating to a startling event or	
condition made while the declarant was	
under the stress of excitement caused by the event or condition	
s	
Excited Utterances by Children –	
803(2)	
Statement to Grandmother	
Statement to Pediatrician I Statement to Social Worker	
Statement to Pediatrician II	
Statement to Psychologist	

Excited Utterances by Children – 803(2)

- More flexibility regarding length of time between event & statement than with adult cases
- Statements made 4-5 days after event held to be excited

Excited Utterances by Children – 803(2)

• Laying a foundation



Excited Utterances by Children – 803(2)

• Distinguished from: Present Sense Impression [803(1)]:

Excited Utterances by Children – 803(2) Present Sense Impression [803(1)]:

A statement describing or explaining an event or condition made while the declarant was perceiving the event or condition, or immediately thereafter

10

Excited Utterances by Children – 803(2)

Distinguished from:
 Then Existing Mental, Emotional, or Physical Condition [803(3)]

11

Excited Utterances by Children – 803(2)

Then Existing Condition Exception:

A statement of the declarant's then existing state of mind, emotion, sensation, or physical condition (such as intent, plan, motive, design, mental feeling, pain, & bodily health), but not including a statement of memory or belief to prove the fact remembered or believed

For Purposes of Medical Diagnosis & Treatment – 803(4)

[s]tatements made for purposes of medical diagnosis or treatment & describing medical history, or past or present symptoms, pain, or sensations, or the inception or general character of the cause or external source thereof insofar as reasonably pertinent to diagnosis or treatment

13

For Purposes of Medical Diagnosis & Treatment – 803(4)



14

For Purposes of Medical Diagnosis & Treatment – 803(4)

Hinnant test

351 N.C. 277

351 N.C. 277

Supreme Court of North Carolina.

STATE of North Carolina V.

George Elton HINNANT.

No. 22A99. Feb. 4, 2000.

Defendant was convicted in the Superior Court, Wake County, Louis B. Meyer, J., of first-degree rape, first-degree sex offense, and taking indecent liberties with minor. Defendant appealed. The Court of Appeals, 131 N.C. App. 591, 508 S.E. 2d 537, with one judge dissentina, found no error. On appeal as of frolts based on dissent and constitutional

Prong (1): Declarant intended to make the statement to get medical diagnosis & treatment.	
Prong (1): Declarant intended to make statement to get	
medical diagnosis & treatment.	
	<u> </u>
Prong (1): Declarant]
intended to make statement to get medical diagnosis & treatment.	
Factors: (1) Whether adult explained need for treatment/importance of truthfulness	
1	

		-	
Prong (1): Declarant]		
intended to make			
		•	
statement to get			
medical diagnosis &			
treatment.			
Factors: (1) Whether adult explained need			
for treatment/importance of			
truthfulness			
(2) With whom/what circumstances made			
		·	
	19	9	
		1	
Prong (1): Declarant			
intended to make			
statement to get			
medical diagnosis &			
treatment.			
treatment.			
Factors:			
(1) Whether adult explained need			
for treatment/importance of truthfulness			
(2) With whom/what circumstances			
made (3) Setting			
(3) Setting			
	20		
- 40 - 1			
Prong (1): Declarant			
intended to make			
statement to get			
medical diagnosis &			
treatment.			
Factors:			
(1) Whether adult explained need for treatment/importance of			
truthfulness			
(2) With whom/what circumstances made		I '	
(3) Setting			
(4) Nature of questions			
	21	9	

Prong (1): Declarant Prong (2): Statement reasonably pertinent to intended to make statement to get medical diagnosis & medical diagnosis & treatment. treatment. Factors: (1) Whether adult explained need for treatment/importance of truthfulness (2) With whom/what circumstances made (3) Setting (4) Nature of questions Prong (1): Declarant Prong (2): Statement intended to make reasonably pertinent to statement to get medical diagnosis & medical diagnosis & treatment. treatment. Does a child victim's identification of the perpetrator satisfy this prong? Factors: (1) Whether adult explained need for treatment/importance of truthfulness (2) With whom/what circumstances No Yes (3) Setting (4) Nature of questions Prong (1): Declarant Prong (2): Statement intended to make reasonably pertinent to medical diagnosis & statement to get medical diagnosis & treatment. treatment. Factors: (1) Whether adult explained need Does a child victim's identification of the perpetrator satisfy this for treatment/importance of truthfulness prong? (2) With whom/what circumstances (3) Setting (4) Nature of questions

Does Child's statement to Pediatrician I fall within this exception?	
1. Yes 2. No	
3. Need more information	
25	
	1
Do Child's statements to Social Worker fall within this exception?	-
1. Yes 2. No	
3. Not sure	
26	
Do Child's statements to Pediatrician II	
fall within this exception? 1. Yes	
2. No 3. Not sure	

Do Child's statements to Grandmother fall within this exception? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Not sure	
Residual Exceptions	
29	
Residual Exceptions	
• 803(24)	
• 804(b)(5) (declarant unavailable)	
30	

 (1) proper written notice given (2) not covered by another exception (3) circumstantial guarantees of trustworthiness (4) evidence of a material fact (5) more probative than other evidence (6) serves general purposes of the rules & interests of justice 	
 (1) proper written notice given (2) not covered by another exception (3) circumstantial guarantees of trustworthiness (4) evidence of a material fact (5) more probative than other evidence (6) serves general purposes of the rules & interests of justice 	
 (1) proper written notice given (2) not covered by another exception (3) circumstantial guarantees of trustworthiness (4) evidence of a material fact (5) more probative than other evidence (6) serves general purposes of the rules & interests of justice 	

((1)	declarant's	personal	knowle	edge

- (2) declarant's motivation to speak the truth
- (3) whether declarant recanted
- (4) practical availability of declarant at trial for cross examination

Child found to be incompetent because she cannot distinguish truth from fiction.



Child found to be incompetent because she is too frightened to testify.



- (1) proper written notice given
- (2) not covered by another exception
- (3) circumstantial guarantees of trustworthiness
- (4) evidence of a material fact
- (5) more probative than other evidence
- (6) serves general purposes of the rules & interests of justice

Child's Statements to Social Worker



Do I really have a hearsay problem?



Do I	really	have	a h	ear	say
	pr	oblen	า?		

If you don't need it as substantive evidence, look for a purpose other than for the truth of the matter asserted!