Fourth Amendment – Search and Seizure

10/12/07

Analytical Framework

- 1. Did the officer seize the defendant?
- 2. Did the officer have grounds for the seizure?
- 3. Did the officer act within the scope of the seizure?
- 4. Did the officer have grounds to arrest or search?
- 5. Did the officer act within the scope of the arrest or search?

<u>Seizure</u>

<u>Florida v. Bostick</u>, 501 U.S. 429 (1991) – "a seizure does not occur simply because a police officer approaches an individual as asks a few questions." Seizure - when a reasonable person would not feel free to decline officer's request or otherwise terminate encounter

<u>California v. Hadari D.</u>, 499 U.S. 621 (1991) – applying actual physical force to suspect or submitting to officer's "show of authority." Not seized while running from police.

<u>State v. Farmer</u>, 333 N.C. 172 (1993) – seizure/consensual factors: number of officers present, display of weapon, physical touching of Defendant, use of language or tone of voice, citing <u>Mendenhall</u>.

Stop/Seizure

<u>State v. Adkerson</u>, 90 N.C. App. 333 (1988) – within ¹/₄ mile weaving within lane and drove off road 1X <u>State v. Hudson</u>, 103 N.C. App. 708 (1991) – reasonable suspicion that illegible temporary tag had expired <u>State v. Watson</u>, 122 N.C. App. 596 (1996) – driving on center line, weaving within lane for 15 seconds near nightclub at 2:30 AM

<u>State v. Jacobs</u>, 162 N.C. App. 251 (2004) – similar to <u>Watson</u> - 1:43 AM, continuously weaving in lane for $\frac{34}{100}$ mile and bars in the area.

<u>State v. Schiffer</u>, 132 N.C. App. 22 (1999) – reasonable suspicion to stop for windshield tint violation <u>State v. Foreman</u>, 351 N.C. 627 (2000) –turning away from a checkpoint within its perimeters is sufficient for reasonable suspicion

State v. Bonds, 139 N.C. App. 627 (2000) – blank look, staring straight ahead, no eye contact with officer, window down and 28 degrees, and 10 mph below speed limit

<u>State v. Barnhill</u>, 166 N.C. App. 228 (2004) – <u>Probable cause</u> to stop for speeding, a readily observed traffic violation

<u>Alabama v. White</u>, 496 U.S. 325 (1990) – sufficient corroboration of anonymous tip to support reasonable suspicion to make stop

<u>State v. Ivey</u>, 360 N.C. 562 (2005) – As a general rule, "the decision to stop an automobile is reasonable where the police have <u>probable cause</u> to believe a traffic violation has occurred." Citing <u>Whren</u>.

<u>State v. Fox</u>, 58 N.C. App. 692 (1982) – vehicle proceeding slowly on dead-end street of locked businesses, previously fraught with property crime, at 12:50 AM; avoided officer's gaze, shabbily dressed in a "real nice" car.

<u>N.C.G.S.</u> § 15A-1113(b) – requires probable cause to detain for infraction for a reasonable period of time to issue and serve citation

<u>State v. Aubin</u>, 100 N.C. App. 628 (1990) – excessively slow (45 mph on I-95) and weaving in own lane <u>State v. Jones</u>, 96 N.C. App. 389 (1989) – 20 mph below speed limit, weaving within lane, experienced trooper knew low speed could mean intoxicated driver

<u>State v. Watkins</u>, 337 N.C. 437 (1994) – dispatch stated suspicious vehicle behind business, veteran officer requested his assistance, officer saw vehicle driving with lights off in parking lot of business closed at 3:00 AM in rural area – corroborate anonymous tip

<u>City of Indianapolis v. Edmond, 531 U.S. 32 (2000)</u> – must have reasonable suspicion to stop for drugs – No drug checkpoints

<u>State v. Hess</u>, _____ N.C. App. _____ (2007) – stop reasonable when officer ran tag of vehicle and discovered the owner's license was revoked and even though officer could not determine the race or sex of the driver <u>State v. Barnard</u>, _____ N.C. App. _____ (2007) – stop reasonable when 30 second delay at green light at 12:15 in a high crime area near bars

<u>Florida v. J.L.</u>, 529 U.S. 266 (2000) – unreasonable stop when tip was that a young black male would be at a particular bus stop wearing a plaid shirt would be carrying a gun. Tip lacked significant indicia of reliability and provided no predictive information about Defendant's conduct. Case requires tip to be reliable in its assertion of illegality, not just in its tendency to ID person.

<u>State v. Fleming</u>, 106 N.C. App. 165 (1992) – stop <u>unreasonable</u> when Defendant standing in open area between two apartment buildings after midnight and Defendant walked away from officers <u>State v. Roberson</u>, 163 N.C. App. 129 (2004) – stop <u>unreasonable</u> where there was an 8 to 10 second delay at intersection after green light

Scope of Seizure

<u>Terry v. Ohio</u>, 392 U.S. 1 (1968) – reasonable suspicion to stop does not automatically include right to frisk <u>State v. Pearson</u>, 348 N.C. 272 (1998) – consent to search car not does not authorize a frisk of the person <u>State v. Sanchez</u>, 147 N.C. App. 619 (2001) – multiple occupants of vehicle were briefly handcuffed while officers frisked for weapons then the handcuffs were removed – handcuffing does not exceed scope of stop and into arrest

<u>Pennsylvania v. Mimms</u>, 434 U.S. 106 (1977) – may order driver out of vehicle if stop is valid Maryland v. Wilson, 519 U.S. 408 (1997) – if valid stop, then can order passengers out of car

Michigan v. Long, 463 U.S. 1032 (1983) – may do "vehicle frisk" for weapons if reasonably believe that suspect is dangerous and may gain control of weapon

<u>Florida v. Royer</u>, 460 U.S. 491 (1983) – stop may last no longer than necessary to effectuate the purpose of stop

State v. Morocco, 99 N.C. App. 421 (1990) – once detention has ended and person free to leave, then officer may request consent search – ticket written and property returned

Grounds to Arrest/Search

<u>State v. Pittman</u>, 111 N.C. App. 808 (1993) – initial encounter was consensual and subsequent stop of car was supported by reasonable suspicion, but officers did not have probable cause to search person <u>Schneckloth v. Bustamonte</u>, 412 U.S. 218 (1973) – voluntariness of consent determined from totality of circumstances; State has burden of proving consent.

State v. Pearson, 348 N.C. 272 (1998) - consent to search car does not authorize frisk of person

Scope of Arrest/Search

<u>U.S. v. Robinson</u>, 414 U.S. 218 (1973) – person may be searched incident to lawful arrest <u>State v. Logner</u>, 148 N.C. App. 135 (2001) – if lawful arrest occupant of automobile, officer may contemporaneously search passenger compartment – entire interior of vehicle, including the glove compartment, the console, or any other compartment, whether locked or unlocked, and all containers found within the interior

<u>State v. Thomas</u>, 81 N.C. App. 2000 (1986) – if search incident to lawful arrest, officer may search arrestee's person and area within arrestee's immediate control [where he could gain possession of weapon or destroy evidence

Knowles v. Iowa, 525 U.S. 113 (1998) – cannot search incident to issuing a citation

Thank you to Professor John Rubin, Professor Robert L. Farb, and Judge Clarence E. Horton for assisting with this project.