

**PROCEDURAL ISSUES IN ED**  
MAY 2015

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**VARIOUS PROCEDURAL RULES**

Need in personam jurisdiction over defendant  
Service of Process and Minimum Contacts

District court has exclusive subject matter jurisdiction over ED claims

Claim can be filed and adjudicated "at any time after the husband and wife begin to live separate and apart."  
GS 50-21(a)

Claim must be asserted before entry of absolute divorce  
"Reserving" claim in divorce judgment does not work

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**MORE PROCEDURAL RULES**

No jury trials allowed

No right to an attorney

No claim for attorney fees  
(except for contempt)

ED is not an exclusive remedy  
No such thing as 'marital property' until parties separate and one requests ED  
All common law remedies remain available for property not addressed through ED

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## PROCEDURAL STUFF

Claim can be filed, or can proceed if already filed, after death of one party

ED must be determined without regard to alimony and child support  
Alimony can be done before or after ED

ED Judgment enforced by contempt  
Except distributive award also can be enforced through execution

ED Judgments cannot be modified  
Only remedy is Rule 59 or 60

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## JUDGMENTS

Delay in entry of Judgment is huge problem

If party can show prejudice from delay, court of appeals will order new trial

19 month delay required new trial

Wall v. Wall, 140 NC App 303 (2000)

2-year delay admonished

Sisk v. Sisk, 729 SE2d 68 (2012)

**Nunc pro tunc** generally not available to 'fix' delay  
Whitworth v. Whitworth, 731 SE 2d 707 (2012)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## INVENTORY AFFIDAVITS

- First party to file ED must file affidavit within 90 days
- Other party must respond within 30 days
- Does your district have a different schedule?

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**INVENTORY AFFIDAVITS**

- **“Deemed in the nature of Interrogatories”**
  - See *Helms v. Helms*, 191 NC App 19 (2008)
- **Subject to Rule 11**
- **Failure to supply required information subject to:**
  - Rule 26: General Discovery Rules
  - Rule 33: Interrogatories
  - Rule 37: Motions to Compel and SanctionsSee *Ward v. Ward*, unpublished, 736 NC App 647 (2013)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**INVENTORY AFFIDAVITS**

- **Can be amended at any time by parties**
- **Nonbinding at trial as to completeness and as to values**
- **Unless local rules provide otherwise**
  - Young v. Young*, 133 NC App 332 (1999)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**PRETRIAL CONFERENCES GENERALLY**

- **Rule 16 of Rules of Civil Procedure**
  - Court *may* conduct a pretrial conference in any case
- **Rule 7 of General Rules of Practice for Superior and District Courts**
  - There *shall* be a pretrial conference in every case, unless parties waive requirement in writing with signature of judge

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## GOALS OF PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

Rule 16 of Rules of Civil Procedure :

- Simplification and formulation of issues
- Determining need for amendment of pleadings
- Obtaining admissions of facts and of documents
- Limit number of expert witnesses
- Consider reference
- Matters of which court can take judicial notice
- Any other matters to aid in disposition of case

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## ED PRETRIAL CONFERENCES

Three required by GS 50-21.

*Scheduling and discovery conference* to be requested by party first filing ED within 120 days of filing

At scheduling and discovery conference, must set date for *initial pretrial conference*

At initial pretrial conference, must set *final pretrial conference*

In addition, must have *Mediated Settlement Conference* in every case  
GS 7A-348.4A

Different Local Rules?

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## PRETRIAL ORDER = STIPULATIONS

- Binding on Court and on Parties
- Can be set aside in the interest of justice  
On request of a party or on court's own motion  
Only upon proper notice and opportunity for parties to present evidence not presented due to stipulation  
See *Plomaritis v. Plomaritis*, 730 SE2d 784 (NC App 2012)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## CASES TO CONSIDER

*White v. Davis*, 163 NC App 21 (2004)

Values "TBD" by specific date

*Brackney v. Brackney*, 199 NC App 375 (2009)

Postseparation appreciation of house resulted from market forces alone

*Ubertaccio v. Ubertaccio*, 359 NC 175, adopting concurring opinion in 181 NC App 352 (2003)

Stock received after DOS earned as result of wife's efforts during marriage

*Miller v. Miller*, 97 NC App 77 (1990)

Equal division is equitable

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---