







CASE CRITERIA

□ Conviction was in NC state court

- □ Conviction was for a felony
- □ Applicant is living



REQUIREMENTS

- □ Applicant is claiming **complete** factual innocence of any criminal responsibility for the crime
- There must be **new evidence of innocence** that the jury did not hear or was not reasonably available prior to plea
 There must be **credible and verifiable** evidence of innocence



- All relevant evidence is presented to the full Commission at a public hearing
- The Director or Director's designee presents the case to Commissioners and staff members involved in the investigation are called to testify, along with outside witnesses.
 - Hearing is non-adversarial Rules of Evidence do not apply
- The standard is "sufficient evidence of innocence to merit judicial review"
- Votes must be unanimous for a guilty plea (8 of 8 members) and majority for all others (5 of 8 members) No right to appeal

WHAT HAPPENS DURING THE COMMISSION HEARING?

NCGS 15A-1468











11



Superior Court Judge/Commission Chair

Appointed for three-year terms by the North Carolina Chief Justice and Chief Judge of the COA on a rotating basis. May serve two consecutive three-year terms plus any partial term.

Commissioners hear cases and determine whether there is sufficient evidence of factual innocence to merit judicial

review. All challenges with regard to the Commission's authority or the Commission's access to evidence shall be heard by the Commission's Chair in the Chair's judical capacity, including any in camera review required by NCGS 15A-908. Serves as the hiring authority for the Commission's Director.







The Search: "FOUND" Evidence (Broad Statutory Authority)

- In 29 cases, the Commission staff was told evidence or files were lost or destroyed but a subsequent search by Commission staff found the evidence/files. 28 of those cases involved evidence or evidence and files while one was files only found.
- 7 of those cases ultimately resulted in the exoneration of 12 individuals (though testing was not necessarily dispositive).
 80% of Commission's econerations involved missing evidence that the Commission located.
- In 7 of those cases, DNA testing confirmed that the convicted person committed the
- 12 total cases where DNA testing conducted at the request of the Commission supported the convicted person's guilt.
- The Commission has the right to search and the training to make those searches effective

16





POST-CONVICTION MOTIONS FOR DNA TESTING

- In 9 of the 28 cases where the Commission found previously unfound evidence, claimants had filed a post-conviction motion for DNA testing.
- ${\sf I}$ case was granted that testing, but the Commission did testing beyond the testing provided by that motion.
- In 2 of the 8 denials, the denial was based on the fact that evidence could not be located or was believed to have been destroyed and the Commission confirmed guilt after locating this evidence and testing it. The tested evidence provided a conclusive result in the case; a
- confirmation of guilt.
 In 4 confirmation of guilt.
 In 4 of these cases where there was a motion (3 denied, 1 granted but the Commission did further testing), the case resulted in an exoneration. Forensic analysis played a role in all four of those exoneration.

19











