## Introduction to UIFSA

#### UIFSA (NC Gen Stat Chapter 52C)

A jurisdiction and procedure statute

- Provides procedures to establish, enforce and modify family support orders
- Does NOT determine amount of support

Uniform????

## Jurisdiction for Support Orders

- Need both subject matter and personal jurisdiction
- Subject matter = statutory authorization
- Personal = statute (long-arm) + due process

## **3 Types of Proceedings**

- Initial support orders
- Enforcement of existing orders
- Modification of existing orders

- Mom and dad married in NC in 2004
- Child born in NC in 2006
- Parents separate in 2007
  Everyone stays in NC
- Mom files for support in NC in 2007
- Does NC have jurisdiction?

## Initial Establishment

- NC generally always has subject matter jurisdiction to enter first support order regarding a child
   GS 50-13.4
  - 65 50-13.4
- Personal jurisdiction?
- Yes: Long-arm and due process met when defendant is resident of NC

- Mom and dad married in Va. in 2004
- Child born in Va. in 2006
- Parties separate in 2007
- Mom moves to NC in 2007 files for support
- Does NC have jurisdiction?

## Initial Establishment

- NC generally always has subject matter jurisdiction to enter initial support order
- Personal jurisdiction?
- Not without more to meet long-arm and due process
  - UIFSA has broad long-arm (GS 52C-2-201)
  - Still need "minimum contacts"

#### So – does mom have to travel to Virginia to obtain child support order?

#### **UIFSA Interstate Procedure**

- She can but she doesn't have to
- UIFSA provides for Interstate Proceedings
  - NC acts as "initiating state"
  - Va. is "responding state"
  - GS 52C-2-206(a), 3-301(c), 3-304

Va. exercises jurisdiction over dad – not NC

#### Initial Proceedings Summary

- NC generally can set original order if NC has personal jurisdiction over defendant
- If no personal jurisdiction, UIFSA allows interstate proceedings

- Va. court orders dad to pay support
- Dad stays in Va.; mom and child in NC
- Dad doesn't pay
- Can NC court enforce Va. child support order?

## **Enforcement Jurisdiction**

- ALL STATES have subject matter jurisdiction to enforce valid order entered by another state
- But must have personal jurisdiction as well
- Does mom have to travel to Va. to enforce?

#### **UIFSA Interstate Procedure**

- She can but she doesn't have to
- UIFSA provides for Interstate Proceedings
  - NC acts as "initiating state"
  - Va. is "responding state"
  - GS 52C-2-206(a), 3-301(c), 3-304

Va. exercises jurisdiction over dad – not NC

- Va. court entered support order
- Everybody moves to NC
- Dad doesn't pay
- Can NC court enforce the Va. order?

## Enforcement

- NC always has subject matter jurisdiction to enforce
- Personal jurisdiction?
  Yes dad is NC resident
- Procedure?
- UIFSA requires Registration for Enforcement
  GS 52C-6-602

- Mom registers Va. order for enforcement
- Dad responds "But she won't let me visit!"
- Defense?

#### Defenses to Enforcement of "Foreign" Support Order

- All defenses must be raised in response to Registration
- If no valid defense raised, order is confirmed
- Defenses listed in GS 52C-6-607 are exclusive
  - See Lively v. Berry, 653 SE2d 192 (2007)
  - But cf. Tepper v. Hoch, 140 NC App 354 (2000)

#### Enforcement Summary

- NC always has subject matter jurisdiction to enforce valid order entered in another state
- Must have personal jurisdiction
- If no personal jurisdiction UIFSA allows interstate proceedings
  - (just like initial establishment)
- If NC has personal jurisdiction UIFSA requires Registration for Enforcement

- Child support order entered in Va. when everyone lived there
- Mom moves to NC with child
- Dad stays in Va.
- Mom wants more \$\$\$\$\$
- Can NC modify the Va. order?

## Modification

- Va. has continuing exclusive jurisdiction ("CEJ")
  - Federal Full Faith and Credit for Child Support Orders Act, 28 USC 1738B ("FFCCSPA")
  - UIFSA, GS 52C-2-205
- State that issues valid order maintains exclusive jurisdiction until:
  - Everyone leaves the state, or
  - Parties sign and file written consent to jurisdiction in another state

## Modification

- Does mom have to go to Va?
- Not necessarily
- Interstate proceeding is available
  - NC as the "initiating state"
  - Va. as the "responding state"
  - GS 52C-2-206(a), 3-301(c), 3-304

- Child support order by Va. court in 2004
- Everybody comes to NC in 2005
- 2007: Dad wants to modify
- Can NC modify?
  - Yes Va. lost CEJ when everyone left state
- Procedure?
  - Registration for Modification: GS 52C-6-609

## Modification

- Virginia law or NC law?
- NC law applies generally
  - GS 52C-6-611(b)
- But no modification of provisions not subject to modification in Virginia
  - GS 52C-6-611(c)
  - *Lombardi,* 157 NC App 540 (2003)
- No modification of vested arrears
  - GS 50-13.10; federal FFCCSOA

- Child support order by Va. court in 2004
- Mom and child move to NC in 2005; dad to Tennessee
- > 2008: Can mom enforce in NC?
  - Not unless have personal jurisdiction over dad
- > 2008: Can NC modify?

### Modification

- "Play away" Rule
- If no state has CEJ, party seeking modification must go to state of other party
  - GS 52C-6-611(a)(1)
  - Unless written and filed consent of parties to NC jurisdiction

#### Modification of Child Support Summary

- NC has no subject matter jurisdiction to modify if another state has CEJ
- State entering child support order keeps CEJ until all parties leave state or all parties consent in writing to move jurisdiction
- If no state has CEJ remember "play–away" rule

- > 2005: California enters alimony order
- > 2006: Wife moves to Ga.; Husband to NC
- > 2008: Wife seeks enforcement in NC
- Procedure?
  - Same as child support
  - Register California order for enforcement
- Enforce?
  - As long as NC has personal jurisdiction
- Modify?

## **Alimony Modification**

- State that enters alimony order keeps exclusive jurisdiction FOREVER
   CS F2C 2 205(f)
  - GS 52C-2-205(f)
- Parties cannot consent to change in jurisdiction
  - GS 52C-2-205(f) and Official Comment
- See Hook v. Hook, 170 NC App 138 (2005)

# **Controlling Orders**

- URESA allowed multiple orders
- Orders validly entered under URESA are entitled to retrospective enforcement
  - (full faith and credit for arrears)
  - See Mannthey v. Kilbourne, 157 NC App 239 (2003)
- Only a "controlling order" is subject to prospective enforcement
  - GS 52C-2-207 (UIFSA determines controlling order)
  - See Jones v. Jones, 175 NC App 158 (2005)