



Roadmap

- Part I (Shea)
 - Stops
 - Anonymous Tips
 - Community Caretaking
 Reasonable Suspicion
 - DWI
 - Pretrial Detention
 - Blood Testing
 - Sentencing
- Part II (John)
 - Searches
 - Right to Counsel
 - Pleadings
 - Crimes

State v. Heien (NCSC), pg. 5

When does Terry stop end?

Heien v. North Carolina

QUESTION PRESENTED

Whether a police officer's mistake of law can provide the individualized suspicion that the Fourth Amendment requires to justify a traffic stop.

Navarette v. California, pg. 3

I've just been run off the highway by a silver Ford F-150 pickup truck. We were both headed south on Highway1. This was at mile market 88. I got the license number: 8D94925.

Navarette v. California, pg. 3

QUESTION PRESENTED

Does the Fourth Amendment require an officer who receives an anonymous tip regarding a drunken or reckless driver to corroborate dangerous driving before stopping the vehicle?

Five Navarette Factors

- Eyewitness knowledge
- Contemporaneous report
- Use of 911 system
- Probable cause of drunk driving
- Corroboration of description, location, direction

State v. Smathers, pg. 2

Community Caretaking Doctrine

- Exception to warrant requirement
 - Previously recognized in context of searching impounded vehicles
 - Police do more than investigate crime; they help citizens in peril or otherwise in need of assistance - Court formally recognizes exception

 - Must balance public's interest in help from officers with individual's interest in being free from unreasonable governmental intrusion
 - Objective test

Community Caretaking Analysis

- 1. Did a Fourth Amendment search/seizure occur?
- 2. Under the totality of the circumstances, was there an objectively reasonable basis for the community caretaking function?
- 3. Did the public need or interest outweigh the intrusion on the individual's privacy? Consider:
 - a. The degree of public interest and exigency of situation
 - b. Attendant circumstances, including time, location, display of overt authority and force
 - Was vehicle involved c.
 - d. Alternatives

State v. Jackson, pg. 4



- No Reasonable Suspicion
- 9 p.m.
- Area known for illegal drug sales
- Many drug-related arrests there
- D walks away from another man when he sees officer's car
- Does so again a few minutes later when officer reappears
- No evasive action

State v. Sutton, pg. 6

Reasonable Suspicion

- High crime area
- Suspicious movements
 - D grabbed waistband to clinch an item after looking directly at officer



DRIVING WHILE IMPAIRED

State v. White, pg. 6

Plan, But No Policy				
SUPERVISOR: CPL HORNE Case Number: 2009-15063				
Operation Date and Time: 09-11-09 7:55 PM				
INITIAL BRIEFING				
Date and Time : 09-11-09 7:30 PM Location: POLKTON FIRE DEPT				
TYPE OF OPERATION				
	SAFETY SEAT CHECK POINT	DRUG INTERDICTION		
SATURATED PATROL	INFORMATIONAL CHECK	DWI CHECKPOINT		
*REQUIRES A SHIFT SUPERVISOR OR TRAFFIC SUPERVISOR				
Demoder/Oreneeter				
Remarks/Comments:				



State v. White, pg. 6

"[T]he trial court did not err by concluding that a lack of a written policy in full force and effect at the time of defendant's stop at the checkpoint constituted a substantial violation of section 20-16.3A."

State v. Dahlquist, pg. 9

- First post-*McNeely* warrantless blood draw case
- 4 to 5 hour delay estimated based on past experience was sufficient exigency
- Dicta:
 - Arresting officers should consider video transmission option allowed by G.S. 15A-245(a)(3)
 - Better practice is to verify waiting times

State v. Geisslercrain, pg. 26

- State must provide notice of aggravating factors in superior court. G.S. 20-179(a1)(1)
- If State fails to provide notice, no aggravating factors properly may be found. State v. Reeves,
 ______ N.C. App. _____, 721 S.E.2d 317 (2012)

Where No Grossly Aggravating Factors				
Level 3	aggravating substantially outweigh mitigating	only aggravating factors		
Level 4	factors balanced	no aggravating or mitigating factors		
Not presumptive				
Level 5	mitigating substantially outweigh aggravating	only mitigating factors		



State v. Kostick, pg. 14

- Trial court did not err in denying *Knoll* motion
- Magistrate followed G.S. 15A-511(b) in setting \$500 secured bond for defendant arrested for DWI based on A/C of 0.15
- Any deviation from statutory requirements was not prejudicial to D, who was released four hours later

State v. Whittington, pg. 15

G.S. 90-95 (g) Subsection may be utilized only if:

- State notifies D at least 15 business days before proceeding... of intention to introduce report into evidence... <u>and provides a copy of the report to the</u> <u>defendant</u>, and
- (2) D fails to file written objection... at least 5 business days before proceeding...

State v. Weaver, pg. 11

- 1. Motivation for seizure
- 2. Degree of govt. involvement
- Legality of conduct encouraged by police