# Digital Evidence for DSS Attorneys

Jeff Welty
UNC School of Government

# Criminal Cases vs. A/N/D Cases Charge Trial (Rules of Evidence apply) Adjudication (Rules of Evidence don't apply) Disposition (Rules of Evidence don't apply)

Analy	rtic Framewo	rk for Digital E	vidence	
	Authentication	Original Writing/ Best Evidence	Hearsay	
		Evidence		

	1
Authentication: The Principal Issue	
"[T]he novel question regarding the admissibility of web-	
based evidence is going to be authentication [M]ost of the rest of the evidentiary problems are the common	
problems lawyers face all the time."  • G. Michael Fenner, The Admissibility of Web-Based Evidence, 47 Creighton L.	
Rev. 63 (2013)	
Authentication: Split of Authority	
"The authentication rule[s] require a demonstration that a piece of evidence is what its proponent claims it to be.	
But the generality of this rule has sent courts off in different directions, with some courts more skeptical of the origins of	
digital communications in light of the ease with which people can create accounts."	
Hugh Kaplan, Two State Courts Provide Guidance on Authenticating Texts,     Facebook Messages, Bloomberg BNA Criminal Law Reporter, April 24, 2015	
	1
Authentication Basics	
Authentication is identification	
<ul> <li>N.C. R. Evid. 901(a) ("The requirement of authentication or identification is satisfied by evidence sufficient to support a finding that the matter in</li> </ul>	
question is what its proponent claims.")  • Authentication is "a special aspect of relevancy"	
<ul> <li>Adv. Comm. Note, N.C. R. Evid. 901(a)</li> <li>Authentication is a low hurdle</li> </ul>	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Wichious of Authentication	Metho	ds of	Auth	nenti	cation
----------------------------	-------	-------	------	-------	--------

- Rule 901(b) gives examples:
  - (1) Testimony of a witness with knowledge. Testimony that a matter is what it is claimed to be.
  - (4) Distinctive characteristics and the like. Appearance, contents, substance, internal patterns, or other distinctive characteristics, taken in conjunction with circumstances.
  - (7) Public records or reports. Evidence that a writing  $\dots$  is from the public office where items of this nature are kept.
- Rule 902 lists self-authenticating evidence

# Types of Digital Evidence

- Email
- Text messages
- Social media posts
- Data seized from a device
- Web pages

### Authenticating Communications: Problem 1

A social worker receives an unsolicited email from fancynancy101@gmail.com: "I just went over to [mother's] house. The power is off and there's no food there. I'm worried about [daughter]. Sincerely, Nancy Neighbor." You eventually call Nancy as a witness at an A/N/D adjudication hearing, but she says (1) that mother's house was warm and well-stocked with food, (2) that she never said otherwise, and (3) that she doesn't have an email account. You want to introduce the email through the social worker. Can the social worker authenticate it?

	1
Methods of Authenticating Communications	
Testimony of a witness with knowledge	
Distinctive characteristics     Name or "signature" alone normally is not enough to authenticate	
<ul> <li>Ownership of originating account is significant support for authentication</li> </ul>	
Other circumstantial evidence may be needed	
	1
Authenticating Communications: Problem 2	
A petition alleges that a father beat his son with barbed wire.	
The key witness is the father's ex-girlfriend. A week before the A/N/D adjudication hearing begins, she receives threatening text messages referencing her "snitching" to DSS and saying things like "I'll kill you if you keep talking." She has screenshots	
of the messages, is prepared to testify that the messages came from the father's phone number, and will say that the	
father "called in between the [text message] conversations talking mess."	
Authenticating Communications: Problem 3	
Grandma testifies that her daughter-in-law has been mistreating her grandson ever since her son went to prison.	
Daughter-in-law's counsel wants to cross-examine Grandma about printouts from Grandma's Facebook account suggesting	
animosity towards daughter-in-law. (One of the posts says: "ima f*** that b**** up.") Grandma acknowledges that	
the statements came from her account but testifies (1) that she has shared her user name and password with others; (2)	
that her account has been hacked before; and (3) that she does not remember writing the statements at issue.	

Sharing passwords  Among internet users in committed relationships, the %	
who have ever shared a password with their partner	
Share passwords	
Total (n=1,288) 67  a Male 66	-
b Female 69	
a 18-29 64 b 30-49 70	
c 50-64 66	
d 65+ 69	
a White 72 <sup>DC</sup> b African-American 52	
C Hispanic 49	
a <\$50,000/year 56 b \$50,000+/year 76 <sup>th</sup>	
a Parent 71 <sup>b</sup>	
b Not a parent 65	
Pew Research Center's Internet Project survey, August 7-September 16, 2013.	
	·
	_
Authenticating Communications: Problem 4	
A social worker is a Facebook friend of a dad who shares custody of his	
three children. One Sunday evening, the dad posts: "Great weekend.	
Been drunk the whole time!" The social worker saves a screenshot of	
the post because she knows that the dad had custody of the children	
that weekend. If the social worker testifies that the dad's profile picture	
is a wolf; that a picture of a wolf accompanied the post; and that she	
has communicated with him through the account before, is that	
enough to authenticate the post?	
Authenticating Communications: Problem 5	
0	
A notition allogos that a mother has neglected her shildren as a result	
A petition alleges that a mother has neglected her children as a result of her deep involvement in a gang. Her name is Allison Abrams, but her	
"street name" is Alley for Real. An officer searches Facebook for "Alley	
for Real" and finds an account with that name which contains pictures	
of the mother wearing gang colors and making gang signs. The account	
holder lists a date and month of birth that matches the mother's. Is	
that sufficient to authenticate the photographs?	

Facto	ors in Authenticating Electronic
Com	munications
	d author acknowledges authorship of communication
<ul> <li>Purporter</li> </ul>	d author acknowledges ownership of account
<ul> <li>Account i</li> </ul>	name contains purported author's name
<ul> <li>Account  </li> </ul>	profile contains picture of purported author
<ul> <li>Account ;</li> </ul>	profile contains identifying data associated with purported author (DOB, physical address, etc.)
<ul> <li>Account I</li> </ul>	nas been used by purported author in the past
<ul> <li>Purporter</li> </ul>	d author has had exclusive control of account in the past
Account v	was created on purported author's device or from purported author's home
Account i	normally accessed on purported author's device or from purported author's home
When the author's l	e communication was sent, account was accessed on purported author's device or from purported nome
• Commun	ication contains words, phrases, or signature characteristic of purported author
• Commun	ication concerns events only known to, or of special interest to, purported author
Communi author	ication is connected in time or content to other communications clearly written by purported
· Timing of	communication connects to events in life of purported author

### Authentication: Seized from Device

Usually authenticated by testimony about retrieval and

- •"(T) The government properly authenticated the videos and images [of child pornography] under Rule 901 by presenting detailed evidence as to the chain of custody, specifically how the images were retrieved from the defendant's computers."
- United States v. Salcido, 506 F.3d 729 (9th Cir. 2007)
   "Because the objects at issue here—the [child pornography] images found on defendant's computer devices—are a direct part of the charges against defendant, they are properly characterized as real evidence. To authenticate real evidence, the proponent need only establish a chain of custody."

   People v. Brown, 313 P.3d 608 (Colo. Ct. App. 2011)

### Seized from Device: Problem 1

A petition alleges that a father has sexually abused his daughter and has recorded the abuse. A detective is prepared to testify that he followed sound forensic practices in recovering certain images from the father's computer, which he then securely saved, accurately printed out, and brought to court. The father contends that the images are not properly authenticated and may have been computer-generated or otherwise altered.

Seized from Device: Probler	n	
-----------------------------	---	--

A petition alleges that a mother provided alcohol to her 14-year-old daughter on the daughter's birthday. A social worker is prepared to testify that she went to the mother's house and the mother showed her digital pictures of the daughter's party. One of the pictures showed the daughter with a beer bottle, so the social worker asked for copies of the pictures, received permission to copy them, and copied them to a USB drive she had in her purse. She carried the drive around for a few days, then downloaded the files to her work computer and printed out the relevant picture.

# Original Writing/Best Evidence Rule

Rule 1001(3): "Original" includes any "printout or output readable by sight" to prove content of "writing, recording, or photograph," except as otherwise provided

Rule 1002: Need "original" (Bule 1003: Duplicates (accurate reproductions of originals) are normally "admissible to the sume extent as an original" (Rule 1004: Rule does not apply if all originals have been lost or destroyed without bad faith

# Original Writing Rule: Problem 1

A petition alleges that a mother used illegal drugs while having custody of her children. A witness is prepared to testify that he received a Snapchat from the mother with a short video of the mother smoking what appeared to be a joint and inviting the witness to come over and join her. Per Snapchat policy, the video disappeared after a few seconds.

	1
Original Writing Rule: Problem 2	
A petition alleges that parents left middle school aged children at home for a weekend without supervision. A teacher is	
Facebook friends with one of the kids. The teacher is prepared	
to testify that she received this Facebook message from the child on the weekend in question: "Hi Ms. Jones, it's Jenny. My	
parents are out of town this weekend!" She didn't get it immediately and didn't recognize its significance, so she deleted	
it.	
	-
Hearsay	
Common houses especially and evaluations in divided	
<ul> <li>Common hearsay exceptions and exclusions in digital evidence cases</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Admission of a party opponent</li> <li>Business records</li> </ul>	
• Non-hearsay	
Hearsay: Problem 1	
At a TPR hearing, you contend that a mom has failed to comply with the requirements established by the court, and in	
fact, called a social worker to threaten her. The mom denies	
that she called the social worker at all. An officer obtained the mom's cell phone records, which show that she dialed the	
social worker's number around the time that the social worker	
received the threats. Are the records hearsay?	