

## Asheville, NC

Trends over Time

2015



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

# **Summary**

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report discusses trends over time, comparing the 2015 ratings for the City of Asheville to its previous survey results in 2008. Additional reports and technical appendices are available under separate cover.

Trend data for Asheville represent important comparison data and should be examined for improvements or declines. Deviations from stable trends over time, especially, represent opportunities for understanding how local policies, programs or public information may have affected residents' opinions.

Meaningful differences between survey years have been noted within the following tables as being "higher" or "lower" if the differences are greater than seven percentage points between the 2008 and 2015 surveys, otherwise the comparison between 2008 and 2015 are noted as being "similar." Additionally, benchmark comparisons for all survey years are presented for reference. Changes in the benchmark comparison over time can be impacted by various trends, including varying survey cycles for the individual communities that comprise the benchmarks, regional and national economic or other events, as well as emerging survey methodologies.

Overall, ratings in Asheville for 2015 generally remained stable. Of the 71 items for which comparisons were available, 53 items were rated similarly in 2008 and 2015, 6 items showed a decrease in ratings and 12 showed an increase in ratings. Notable trends over time included the following:

- Within the pillar of Community Characteristics, eight aspects were trending up from 2008 to 2015, while only one decreased over time. Ratings for openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds decreased from 2008 to 2015. Ratings for safety downtown/commercial areas, traffic flow, ease of walking, paths and walking trails, overall natural environment, air quality, quality of new development and opportunities to participate in community matters all increased.
- While most ratings within the pillar of Governance remained stable, a handful increased and a handful decreased from 2008 to 2015. Ratings for police, traffic enforcement, street cleaning, bus or transit services and overall customer service decreased. Ratings increased for emergency preparedness, natural areas preservation, land use, planning and zoning and public libraries.
- When comparisons were available within the pillar of Participation, all participation rates were consistent from 2008 to 2015.

#### The National Citizen Survey™

Table 1: Community Characteristics General

	Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)			Comparison to benchmark	
	2008	2015	2015 rating compared to 2008	2008	2015
Overall quality of life	81%	81%	Similar	Similar	Similar
Overall image	78%	82%	Similar	Much higher	Higher
Place to live	85%	89%	Similar	Much higher	Similar
Neighborhood	80%	86%	Similar	Similar	Similar
Place to raise children	71%	78%	Similar	Similar	Similar
Place to retire	75%	77%	Similar	Much higher	Higher
Overall appearance	68%	73%	Similar	Similar	Similar

Table 2: Community Characteristics by Facet

		Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)		2015 rating compared to	Comparison to benchmark	
		2008	2015	2008	2008	2015
	Overall feeling of safety	NA	77%	NA	NA	Simila
	Safe in neighborhood	94%	94%	Similar	Higher	Simila
Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	81%	88%	Higher	Similar	Simila
	Overall ease of travel	NA	71%	NA	NA	Simila
	Paths and walking trails	34%	47%	Higher	Much lower	Lowe
	Ease of walking	41%	49%	Higher	Much lower	Lowe
	Travel by bicycle	23%	24%	Similar	Much lower	Much lowe
	Travel by public transportation	NA	26%	NA	NA	Lowe
	Travel by car	53%	54%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Public parking	NA	26%	NA	NA	Lowe
Mobility	Traffic flow	27%	36%	Higher	Much lower	Simila
	Overall natural environment	68%	83%	Higher	Lower	Simil
	Cleanliness	57%	63%	Similar	Much lower	Simila
Natural Environment	Air quality	40%	65%	Higher	Much lower	Simila
	Overall built environment	NA	51%	NA	NA	Simila
	New development in Asheville	39%	55%	Higher	Much lower	Simila
	Affordable quality housing	12%	11%	Similar	Much lower	Muc lowe
	Housing options	28%	26%	Similar	Much lower	Muc lowe
Built Environment	Public places	NA	70%	NA	NA	Simila
	Overall economic health	NA	50%	NA	NA	Simila
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	NA	83%	NA	NA	Muc highe
	Business and services	67%	72%	Similar	Higher	Simila
	Cost of living	NA	16%	NA	NA	Muc lowe
	Shopping opportunities	74%	75%	Similar	Much higher	Highe
Economy	Employment opportunities	15%	14%	Similar	Much lower	Lowe

## The National Citizen Survey $^{\scriptscriptstyle\mathsf{TM}}$

		Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)		2015 rating compared to	Comparison to benchmark	
		2008	2015	2008	2008	2015
	Place to visit	NA	93%	NA	NA	Much higher
	Place to work	35%	36%	Similar	Much lower	Lower
	Health and wellness	NA	84%	NA	NA	Similar
	Mental health care	NA	34%	NA	NA	Similar
	Preventive health services	NA	62%	NA	NA	Similar
	Health care	NA	57%	NA	NA	Similar
	Food	NA	65%	NA	NA	Similar
Recreation and	Recreational opportunities	72%	78%	Similar	Much higher	Higher
Wellness	Fitness opportunities	NA	73%	NA	NA	Similar
	Religious or spiritual events and activities	83%	86%	Similar	Much higher	Similar
	Cultural/arts/music activities	80%	86%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher
	Adult education	NA	76%	NA	NA	Similar
Education and	K-12 education	61%	64%	Similar	Lower	Similar
Enrichment	Child care/preschool	NA	38%	NA	NA	Similar
	Social events and activities	77%	79%	Similar	Much higher	Higher
	Neighborliness	NA	69%	NA	NA	Similar
	Openness and acceptance	74%	64%	Lower	Much higher	Similar
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	68%	78%	Higher	Higher	Similar
Community Engagement	Opportunities to volunteer	87%	86%	Similar	Much higher	Higher

Table 3: Governance General

	Percent rating positive	ly (e.g., excellent/good)		Comparison to benchmark	
	2008	2015	2015 rating compared to 2008	2008	2015
Services provided by Asheville	63%	63%	Similar	Lower	Similar
Customer service	75%	62%	Lower	Similar	Lower
Value of services for taxes paid	39%	32%	Similar	Much lower	Lower
Overall direction	33%	39%	Similar	Much lower	Similar
Welcoming citizen involvement	47%	42%	Similar	Much lower	Similar
Confidence in City government	NA	35%	NA	NA	Similar
Acting in the best interest of Asheville	NA	37%	NA	NA	Similar
Being honest	NA	38%	NA	NA	Similar
Treating all residents fairly	NA	31%	NA	NA	Lower
Services provided by the Federal Government	36%	34%	Similar	Lower	Similar

## The National Citizen Survey $^{\scriptscriptstyle\mathsf{TM}}$

Table 4: Governance by Facet

		Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)			Comparison to benchmark	
		2008	2015	2015 rating compared to 2008	2008	2015
	Police	68%	60%	Lower	Much lower	Lower
	Fire	94%	91%	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Ambulance/EMS	89%	89%	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Crime prevention	49%	49%	Similar	Much lower	Lower
	Fire prevention	70%	66%	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Animal control	52%	50%	Similar	Much lower	Similar
Safety	Emergency preparedness	41%	60%	Higher	Much lower	Similar
	Traffic enforcement	58%	47%	Lower	Lower	Lower
	Street repair	27%	20%	Similar	Much lower	Lower
	Street cleaning	51%	42%	Lower	Much lower	Lower
	Street lighting	56%	60%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Snow removal	58%	56%	Similar	Lower	Similar
	Sidewalk maintenance	41%	35%	Similar	Much lower	Lower
	Traffic signal timing	36%	42%	Similar	Much lower	Simila
Mobility	Bus or transit services	45%	33%	Lower	Much lower	Lower
	Garbage collection	81%	83%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Recycling	71%	78%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Yard waste pick-up	67%	65%	Similar	Lower	Simila
	Drinking water	62%	69%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Natural areas preservation	30%	47%	Higher	Much lower	Simila
Natural Environment	Open space	NA	50%	NA	NA	Simila
	Storm drainage	51%	51%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Sewer services	NA	66%	NA	NA	Simila
	Power utility	NA	63%	NA	NA	Lower
	Utility billing	NA	54%	NA	NA	Simila
	Land use, planning and zoning	16%	31%	Higher	Much lower	Lower
	Code enforcement	29%	30%	Similar	Much lower	Lower
Built Environment	Cable television	NA	41%	NA	NA	Simila
Economy	Economic development	34%	39%	Similar	Much lower	Simila
•	City parks	74%	81%	Similar	Lower	Simila
	Recreation programs	69%	74%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Recreation centers	66%	69%	Similar	Lower	Simila
Recreation and Wellness	Health services	NA	67%	NA	NA	Simila
	Special events	NA	56%	NA	NA	Simila
Education and Enrichment	Public libraries	50%	84%	Higher	Much lower	Simila
Community Engagement	Public information	NA	67%	NA NA	NA	Similar

Table 5: Participation General

	Percent rating positively (e.g., always/so		Comparison to benchmark		
	2008	2015	2015 rating compared to 2008	2008	2015
Sense of community	70%	65%	Similar	Higher	Similar
Recommend Asheville	76%	80%	Similar	Lower	Similar
Remain in Asheville	85%	83%	Similar	Similar	Similar
Contacted Asheville employees	NA	38%	NA	NA	Similar

#### The National Citizen Survey™

Table 6: Participation by Facet

		Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)		2015 rating compared to		Comparison to benchmark	
		2008	2015	2008	2008	2015	
	Stocked supplies for an emergency	NA	31%	NA	NA	Similar	
	Did NOT report a crime	NA	79%	NA	NA	Similar	
Safety	Was NOT the victim of a crime	NA	91%	NA	NA	Similar	
	Used public transportation instead of driving	NA	17%	NA	NA	Lower	
	Carpooled instead of driving alone	NA	53%	NA	NA	Similar	
Mobility	Walked or biked instead of driving	NA	60%	NA	NA	Similar	
	Conserved water	NA	86%	NA	NA	Similar	
	Made home more energy efficient	NA	79%	NA	NA	Similar	
Natural Environment	Recycled at home	NA	94%	NA	NA	Similar	
	Did NOT observe a code violation	NA	45%	NA	NA	Similar	
Built Environment	NOT under housing cost stress	63%	68%	Similar	NA	Similar	
	Purchased goods or services in Asheville	NA	98%	NA	NA	Similar	
	Economy will have positive impact on income	NA	31%	NA	NA	Similar	
Economy	Work in Asheville	NA	66%	NA	NA	Much highe	
	Used Asheville recreation centers	NA	60%	NA	NA	Similar	
	Visited a City park	NA	86%	NA	NA	Similar	
	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	NA	88%	NA	NA	Similar	
Recreation and	Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	NA	91%	NA	NA	Similar	
Wellness	In very good to excellent health	NA	71%	NA	NA	Similar	
	Used Asheville public libraries	NA	71%	NA	NA	Similar	
Education and	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	NA	50%	NA	NA	Similar	
Enrichment	Attended a City-sponsored event	NA	61%	NA	NA	Similar	
	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	NA	41%	NA	NA	Higher	
	Contacted Asheville elected officials	NA	23%	NA	NA	Similar	
	Volunteered	NA	60%	NA	NA	Higher	
	Participated in a club	NA	41%	NA	NA	Higher	
	Talked to or visited with neighbors	NA	93%	NA	NA	Similar	
	Done a favor for a neighbor	NA	89%	NA	NA	Similar	
	Attended a local public meeting	NA	24%	NA	NA	Similar	
	Watched a local public meeting	NA	26%	NA	NA	Similar	
Community	Read or watched local news	NA	85%	NA	NA	Similar	
Engagement	Voted in local elections	83%	87%	Similar	NA	Similar	