N.C.P.I.-Motor Vehicle 101.42 REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION. MOTOR VEHICLE VOLUME JANUARY 1988

-----

## 101.42 REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION.<sup>1</sup>

Under the law of our state, one party is permitted before trial to ask another party to admit the truth of facts relevant to the lawsuit. The [plaintiff (name plaintiff)] [defendant (name defendant)] has introduced into evidence certain "requests for admission" which have been identified as [plaintiff's] [defendant's] exhibit number \_\_\_\_\_. These were presented to the [defendant (name defendant)] [plaintiff (name plaintiff)] to give him an opportunity to deny or challenge them.

[He did not do so.<sup>2</sup>]

[He admitted them.]

As a result, you are to take the facts stated in [plaintiff's] [defendant's] exhibit number \_\_\_\_ as true for the purposes of this case.

[You are not to consider one party's [admission of facts] [failure to respond to a request] as evidence against any other party.]<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> N.C. Gen. Stat. § 1A-1, Rule 36

<sup>2.</sup> Any matter admitted under this rule is conclusively established, unless the court on motion allows an amendment or a withdrawal of an admission. That an admission may be withdrawn with the court's permission is clearly within the judge's discretion. *Whitley v. Coltraine*, 65 N.C. App. 679, 309 S.E.2d 712 (1983). An admission to a request, in form and substance, is comparable to an admission in pleadings or a stipulation drafted by counsel for use at trial. *See* Comment following N.C. Gen. Stat. § 1A-1, Rule 36.

<sup>3.</sup> Facts admitted by one defendant are not binding on a co-defendant. *Barclays Am. Fin., Inc. v. Haywood*, 65 N.C. App. 387, 308 S.E.2d 921 (1983).