Comment from Sunshine Parker, Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians

From: Barbara Parker <barbpark@nc-cherokee.com>

Sent: Friday, March 9, 2018 4:21:56 PM

To: Kelly, Ryan Frances

Subject: RE: Social Services Working Group - Proposed Regional Maps

HI Ryan,

I reviewed the maps and my initial thoughts are below:

- 1. The proposed western region in both maps is too large. A better grouping would be Cherokee, Graham, Clay, Macon, Jackson and Haywood in their own region.
- 2. This grouping of counties is the better grouping of a judicial district. The proposed maps combines us with the 24th, 28th, and 29th.
- 3. Being in the same region as Buncombe is particularly concerning as Buncombe has a large DSS workload and would probably require more support which could become a detriment to the smaller counties. Haywood already has a large DSS workload and combining that with Buncombe would be a strain on regional staff.
- 4. The grouping of counties I propose would also provide support to a very rural region of NC and one that is in desperate need of better supports from the state.
- 5. The six counties I would propose grouping into their own region cover a large land area and I think would require more time to support than most would expect. Most locations are 45 mins to an hour apart county to county.
- 6. These six counties also reflect the natural network of the western region and the regions that work most with the EBCI.
- 7. These six counties also encompass the lands of the EBCI.

Let me know if you have any questions or need anything else from me.

Sunshine