

# A QUICK GUIDE TO SOVEREIGN CITIZENS

UNC School of Government  
November 2012

Note: *Much of the information for this paper was provided by detectives Rob Finch and Kory Flowers of the Greensboro, NC, Police Department, Amy Funderburk of the NC Administrative Office of the Courts, and David Adinolfi of the NC Attorney General's Office.*

## What is a sovereign citizen?

“Sovereign citizen” is a catchall phrase referring to a variety of anti-government individuals and groups who share some common beliefs and behaviors. The organizations to which many sovereign citizens belong have a variety of names: Moorish Nation, The Aware Group, Washitaw Nation, the North Carolina American Republic, Republic of United States of America, etc. The same views may be embraced by Freeman, Freemen on the Land, Sons of Liberty, and Aryan Nation. Many sovereign citizens may not affiliate with any of those groups. In one way or another, though, all sovereign citizens, whether tied to an organization or not, adhere to a view that the existing American governmental structure, including the courts and law enforcement, is a fraud and that they, the sovereign citizens, retain an individual common law identity exempting them from the authority of those fraudulent government institutions.

Sovereign citizens may issue their own driver's licenses and vehicle tags, create and file their own liens against government officials who cross them, question judges about the validity of their oaths, challenge the applicability of traffic laws to them and, in extreme cases, resort to violence to protect their imagined rights. They speak an odd quasi-legal language and believe that by not capitalizing names and by writing in red and using certain catch phrases they can avoid any liability in our judicial system. They even think they can lay claim to vast sums of money held by the United States Treasury, based on the premise that the government has secretly pledged them as security for the country's debts.

At their most harmless, sovereign citizens are cranks who talk what seems like gibberish to cops and magistrates and judges and then become law abiding when they face real legal trouble. At a different level, they may burden the courts severely with the filing of hundreds and hundreds of pages of nonsensical documents. And at their very worst, they may resort to deadly force to defend their strange beliefs.

## What they believe

Although the myriad sovereign citizen groups, and individuals not tied to a particular group, all have their own idiosyncrasies in their beliefs, they tend to share some common ideas. The details may differ but they all believe that the American government established by Washington and Madison and

Franklin and the other founders was secretly replaced at some point — maybe during the Civil War, maybe during the Depression, depending on who is telling the story — by a new governmental system based on admiralty law. The Fourteenth Amendment figures prominently in their beliefs; it was a means for the government to trick people into giving up their sovereign state citizenship and accepting citizenship of the United States.

Subsequently and most significantly, when the government abandoned the gold standard in the 1930s it substituted its citizens as collateral for the country's debts by pledging each citizen's future earnings to foreign investors. Again, the details can vary considerably, but generally the explanation for how this happens is that a secret United States Treasury account is set up for each citizen at birth, some large sum of money placed in it or pledged to it, ranging from hundreds of thousands of dollars to millions depending on which sovereign citizen group's version you hear. As a consequence, they say, two separate identities are created. The corporate shell account, the one pledged as security, is the "strawman" to which sovereign citizens refer and, in their view, is separate and distinct from their true identity. The true individual common law citizen is not subject to the false, secretly-establishment government and can avoid its jurisdiction by carefully distinguishing the way one's name is written.

In sovereign citizens' view, the government-controlled and enslaved strawman is evidenced by documents showing the person's name in all capital letters. Birth certificates, social security cards, driver's licenses, tax forms, etc., therefore, represent only the shell corporate identity, the strawman, because they are written in all caps.

A sovereign citizen avoids inadvertent subjection to this false government by signing documents in a manner that distinguishes the common law sovereign citizen from the strawman — for example, by identifying oneself as "John Doe, Executive Trustee for the Private Contract Trust known as JOHN DOE." Or by identifying oneself as executor for the strawman, or using a copyright symbol with the name, or saying "John Doe, Secured Party, Authorized Representative, Attorney-in-Fact in behalf of JOHN DOE ©," or interspersing colons or hyphens or other odd punctuation in the name, or using the prefix "Noble" or the suffix "Bey" or "El Bey" with one's name. And so on. To further avoid inadvertent submission to the false government, the sovereign citizen may use red ink, add thumbprints to documents, put the zip code in brackets or say "near" as part of the address. There are innumerable varieties of this queer view of the law, but all are intended by the sovereign citizen to make sure you know you are no longer dealing with the enslaved strawman, you now have the real common law sovereign citizen in front of you.

Again, the beliefs and practices can vary considerably from group to group and person to person, but once you are familiar with the ideas above you are more likely to realize you are dealing with a sovereign citizen. A sovereign citizen named Fred Jones may say "I am agent of Fred Jones" to inform you that he is not the corporate entity strawman FRED JONES and thus is beyond the court's jurisdiction. Sovereign citizens may want to bring their own court reporters to court proceedings. There will be talk about common law, about Admiralty Law, and about oaths of office. A sovereign citizen may carry a copy of Black's Law Dictionary as a reference resource for their common law views. There also is a strange twist to their thinking that puts the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) at the center of much of the theory. For those who identify themselves as Moorish Nation or something similar, there also is belief that a 1787 treaty (fictitious) between the United States and Morocco grants them immunity from

US law. Some may claim to occupy United Nations Indigenous People's Seat 215 — there is no such thing — and create their own birth certificates and passports in addition to driver's license and vehicle registrations.

Sovereign citizens tend to believe in squatters' rights and have been known to move into houses that have been foreclosed and abandoned. They will fix up the place and have utilities turned on, then will file documents they believe confirm their new ownership of the property.

The point to remember is that, whatever circuitous and illogical route they take to get there, sovereign citizens reject the current federal, state and local governments and consider themselves outside their authority. Ironically enough, at the same time they may file paper after paper with the very courts whose legitimacy they deny, seeking to vindicate their common law rights.

There are few appellate court decisions debunking sovereign citizens' arguments because sovereign citizens almost always represent themselves and either do not appeal the trial court decisions against them or are unable to perfect an appeal properly. Also, most of the arguments they make are so nonsensical that courts feel free to reject them without much explanation. There are, though, at least two North Carolina Court of Appeals' opinions discussing and rejecting a sovereign citizen's arguments about the trial court's lack of jurisdiction over him. The opinions, both involving the same defendant, are *State v. Phillips I*, 149 N.C. App. 310 (2002), and *State v. Phillips II*, 152 N.C. App. 679 (2002). The first opinion includes the more detailed discussion of the defendant's assertions about jurisdiction. For the most part the second opinion refers back to the first opinion. Then in *Phillips v. Wood*, 341 F.Supp.2d 576 (MDNC 2004), the federal district court rejected the same defendant's attempts to sue the state judges and magistrate for their actions in connection with his state convictions.

#### Names of their organizations

Two of the most prevalent sovereign citizens groups in North Carolina are the Moorish Nation and the Washitaw Nation. Moorish Nation adherents may refer also to the Moorish Science Temple, Moorish Republic, United Nuwaubian Nation of Moors (NUNM), MU'UR Republic or other variations. Moorish sovereigns tend to be black and younger; many get started on this path in prison. The Washitaw Nation, a Moorish branch, is tied to a group in Poverty Point, Louisiana. White supremacist organizations such as the Aryan Nation may embrace sovereign citizen ideology as well. So too may Freemen and related groups. There also is the North Carolina American Republic in the Mooresville area, and there are groups like the Embassy of Heaven, Aware Group and Carolina Liberty.

Not all sovereign citizens affiliate with any particular organization. Individuals may access sovereign citizen theory and documents easily through the internet. And not surprisingly there are any number of vendors of driver's licenses, license tags, pleadings and other papers who are glad to sell their wares to anyone who sees in them a means to be free from traffic tickets, taxes and other government obligations.

## Identification

Sovereign citizens may drive vehicles — which they will call “conveyances” — with odd license tags and registration documents. The license tag might say “Republic of North Carolina” or something along those lines, or “Kingdom of Heaven” or “Washitaw Nation” or “Washitaw Trustee” or some variation on those or the other organizational names mentioned above. A common license tag and registration will say “MU’UR REPUBLIC” and have a United Nations symbol with the words “Indigenous National” or something similar. Also look for words like “Private Registrant.” Sovereign citizens also tend to put gold stickers on documents and use multiple notary stamps.

Sovereign citizens typically carry their own unique driver’s licenses. Just as with the vehicle tags, the driver’s license may be issued in the name of the Washitaw Nation, North Carolina American Republic or whatever particular sect the person has chosen. Some groups issue what appears to be a passport from a foreign government, but on closer examination the issuer is the MU’UR Republic or ISIS-Abaannaki Aboriginal Nation or other make-believe government. One common factor in much sovereign citizen identification, particularly for blacks, is inclusion of “Bey” or “El Bey” in the person’s name, such as “John-Doe El Bey.”

In addition to this contrived identification the sovereign citizen may carry a legitimate state driver’s license. When stopped by an officer or otherwise asked by an official for identification, the person may produce the fictitious driver’s license first but then when backed into a corner will pull out a real license. Some sovereign citizens have gotten regular state driver’s licenses issued to them with their El Bey name. They also may file a document with the register of deeds purporting to change their name to El Bey, believing that such a filing is all they need to do.

Some sovereign citizens will dress in what looks like a law enforcement uniform and will carry a badge identifying themselves as “county rangers.”

## Buzzwords

Below are some unusual words or phrases or manner of punctuation or writing that are commonly used by sovereign citizens, reflecting their beliefs. Some of the words are legitimate legal terms in the proper context but are meaningless in the way they are used by sovereign citizens. For example, the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) indeed exists and is important law for commercial transactions, but it has none of the purposes ascribed to it by sovereign citizens. It would take a long time to explain why sovereign citizens speak and write the way they do, or the meanings of these particular words; just be aware that the recurrence of these ways of communicating is one indicator that the person is a sovereign citizen.

Strawman  
De facto government  
Conveyance (rather than vehicle)  
References to UCC  
IRS Form 1099-OID

Indigenous  
Non-resident alien  
Traveling in a private capacity  
Use of red ink  
Sui juris

Travelling in a commercial capacity	Redemption
Aboriginal	El Bey
Who is the victim?	Where is your oath of office?
© after a person's name	Brackets around a zip code
"Employer ID Number" rather than SSN	Domicile
Reference to HJR-192	Charge Back Notice
UN Indigenous People's Seat 215	Debtor is transmitting utility
Use of "near" with zip code	U.S. Minor, Outlying Islands
Special Trust Deposit	In Admiralty
Dishonor in commerce	Accepted for value
Thumbprints on documents	Executor
Common law	Final solution
Man on the land	Free man
Referring to the government as a corporation	Requesting an official's bond
Affidavit of truth	Fiduciary
Judicial District of Tens	Letters of Marque
Title 4 flag	Sovereign Living Soul
UCC-1 Statement	UCC-1-207
Silence is acquiescence	State Citizen

### Filings

Ironically, given their views on the illegitimacy of the government, sovereign citizens are prolific at filing papers with those same government offices. Clerks of courts and registers of deeds are the most frequent victims. Most of the papers sovereign citizens file seek relief from criminal charges or demand money, and many are designed to harass or intimidate public officials. A sampling of the kinds of documents clerks of court and registers of deeds might expect from sovereign citizens include:

- A notice of copyright as to the person's name, often labeled "Common Law Copyright Notice."
- "Registered Warrant Claim for Trust Special Deposit."
- Filings responding to traffic tickets or other charges labeling those documents as "abandoned paperwork" and demanding that the court official respond with authentication of authority, a certified copy of the official's oath, a certified copy of the officials "Bar Bond", and so on.
- A response to a traffic ticket or other charge labeled as an "Affidavit of Specific Negative Averment, Opportunity to Cure, and Counterclaim."
- "Negative averment" appears on various documents, with the idea that it puts the burden on the official to respond.
- "Notice of International Commercial Claim Within The Admiralty ab initio Administrative Remedy."
- Notices of default and commercial liens and demands for payment.
- "Express Specific Reservations of Rights."
- "Certification of Non-Response."
- An invoice for an outrageous sum of money, in the millions or billions of dollars.
- "Non-Negotiable Declaration in the Form for Trust Affidavit in Commerce."

- “Notice of Default for Notice and Demand for Full Disclosure.”
- “Affidavit of Citizenship and Domicile.”
- “Legal Notice: Right to Travel.”
- “Notice of Dishonor.”
- “Notice of Silver Surety Bond.”
- “Asseveration.”
- “Non-Statutory Abatement: Notice of Default, Default Judgment, and Praecipe.”

It is common for sovereign citizens to attempt to file their document on legal-size paper.

Sovereign citizens also use a “Charge Back Notice” in response to bills. The message intended by the notice is that the bill will be paid by the United States Treasury from the sovereign citizen’s strawman account.

Most significantly, sovereign citizens attempt to file false liens against public officials who have crossed them. Starting December 1, 2012, a new North Carolina statute, GS 14-118.6, makes it a Class I felony to knowingly present for filing a false lien or encumbrance against the property of a public officer or employee based on that person’s performance of official duties. The statute also establishes a procedure for the Register of Deeds to reject such a filing.

This paper may be used for educational purposes without permission.  
Use for commercial purposes or without acknowledgement is prohibited.  
© 2012 School of Government, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.