LEGAL INTERVENTIONS TO REDUCE OVERDOSE MORTALITY IN NORTH CAROLINA

Fact Sheet

Background

Fatal drug overdose is a nationwide epidemic that claims the lives of over 36,000 Americans every year.¹ The situation is particularly acute in North Carolina, where overdose deaths have increased more than 300 percent in just over a decade, from 297 in 1999 to 1,140 in 2011.² This increase is mostly driven by prescription opioids such as oxycontin and hydrocodone, which now account for more overdose deaths than heroin and cocaine combined.³ Opioid overdose is typically reversible through the timely administration of naloxone, a drug that reverses the effects of opioids, and the provision of other emergency care.⁴ However, access to naloxone and other emergency treatment is often limited by laws that a) make it difficult for those likely to be in a position to reverse an overdose to access the drug and b) discourage overdose witnesses from calling for help.⁵ In an attempt to reverse this unprecedented increase in preventable overdose deaths, a number of states have recently amended those laws to increase access to emergency care and treatment for opiate overdose.⁶

In 2013, North Carolina joined their ranks. Senate Bill 20, “Good Samaritan Law/Naloxone Access,” was passed by overwhelming majorities in the state House and Senate and was signed by the Governor on April 9, 2013. The law went into effect immediately.⁷ As explained in more detail below, the law provides limited immunity from prosecution for possession of certain drugs and drug paraphernalia for individuals who experience a drug overdose and are in need of medical care and for those who seek medical care in good faith for a person experiencing an overdose. The bill also provides limited immunity from certain underage drinking offenses for minors who seek help in the event of an alcohol overdose. Finally, the bill establishes limited civil and criminal immunity for medical professionals who prescribe naloxone, and laypeople who administer it to a person suspected of suffering from an opioid overdose.

Limited Immunity for Possession of Certain Drugs

In many cases, overdose bystanders may fail to summon medical assistance because they are afraid that doing so may put them at risk of arrest and prosecution for drug-related crimes.⁸ SB20 attempts to address this problem by providing limited immunity from prosecution for possession of certain drugs for both a person acting in good faith who seeks medical assistance for an individual experiencing a drug-related overdose and the person suffering from the overdose where the evidence for prosecution was obtained as a result of the seeking of medical assistance. The law provides immunity from possession charges only; it provides no protection for other crimes such as the sale of illegal drugs.

Mainly because of how the state Controlled Substances Act is written, the drugs and quantities covered by SB20 are slightly complicated.⁹ We provide below a complete list of the drugs and quantities for which a person may not be prosecuted if the requirements described above are met, and an incomplete list of drugs and quantities for which the bill does not grant immunity.
Complete List of Drugs and Quantities Covered by SB20

• Less than one gram of cocaine
• Less than one gram of heroin
• Less than one gram of Methyleneoxyprovalerone (MDPV)  
  o This is one of the drugs commonly known as “bath salts”
• Less than 100 tablets, patches or other dosage units of most, but not all, Schedule II, III, or IV drugs  
  o This includes most common prescription drugs including Vicodin, Percocet, OxyContin, Opana, Suboxone, methadone and  
    other opioid pain relievers except hydromorphone drugs such as Dilaudid and Exalgo (see below); Ritalin, Adderall, and some  
    other stimulants (see below); Xanax, Klonopin, Valium and other benzodiazepines; Ambien, Lunesta, Sonata and other sleep  
    aids; and testosterone steroids.
• Four or fewer “tablets, capsules, or other dosage units or equivalent quantity” of hydromorphone  
  o Brand names Dilaudid and Exalgo
• Any quantity of a Schedule V drug  
  o These are generally non-prescription drugs that can only be sold by a pharmacist, such as cough syrup with codeine
• One and one-half ounces or less of marijuana
• 21 grams or less of a synthetic cannabinoid or any mixture containing a synthetic cannabinoid  
  o These are synthetic marijuana products, such as those sold as “Spice” or “K-2”
• Three-twentieths of an ounce or less of hashish

If a drug and quantity is not in the above list, the new law does not provide immunity for its possession. A non-exclusive list of the drugs and quantities for which immunity is not granted follows.

Incomplete List of Drugs and Quantities Not Covered by SB20

• One gram or more of cocaine
• One gram or more of heroin
• One gram or more of methylenedioxypyrovalerone (MDPV)
• Any quantity of any Schedule I drug except heroin or MDPV, for which immunity is granted for quantities less than one gram (see above)  
  o Schedule I drugs are those that cannot be prescribed for any purpose. They include LSD, MDMA/Ecstasy, and ibogaine,  
    among others
• Any quantity of methamphetamine
• Any quantity of amphetamine
• Any quantity of phencyclidine (PCP)
• Any salt, isomer, salts of isomers, compound, derivative, or preparation of methamphetamine, amphetamine, phencyclidine, or  
  cocaine
• Any quantity of coca leaves and any salt, isomer, salts of isomers, compound, derivative, or preparation of coca leaves
• Any quantity of synthetic tetrahydrocannabinols or tetrahydrocannabinols isolated from the resin of marijuana

Limited Immunity for Possession of Drug Paraphernalia

The law also provides immunity from prosecution for possession of drug paraphernalia for both the person who seeks medical assistance in good faith for a person experiencing an overdose and the person in need of help, if the evidence for the charge was obtained as a result of the call for medical assistance. Drug paraphernalia includes syringes, baggies, cookers and similar instruments used or intended to be used with activities that violate the Controlled Substances Act.

Limited Immunity for Possession and Consumption of Alcohol

Under the terms of the law, a person under the age of 21 who seeks medical assistance for another “shall not be prosecuted” for unlawful possession or consumption of alcohol if he or she acts in good faith and upon a reasonable belief that he or she was the first to call for assistance. The person must provide his or her own name when contacting
authorities and remain with the person needing medical assistance until help arrives. This alcohol-related immunity applies only to the person who seeks help, not the person needing medical assistance.

Additionally, Both Duke and Elon universities have written policies that encourage alcohol overdose bystanders to seek medical assistance by providing limited immunity from sanction under university alcohol rules for underage students who seek medical help for a person experiencing an alcohol overdose. As the Elon policy notes, “[t]he university’s main concern is getting the proper care for the student in need.”

**Increased Access to Naloxone**

The law also takes several steps to make it easier for those likely to be in the position to save a life to do so by administering naloxone, the standard treatment for opioid overdose. First, the bill authorizes a medical professional otherwise permitted to prescribe naloxone to prescribe the drug to a person at risk of experiencing an overdose as well as a family member, friend, or other person "in a position to assist a person at risk of experiencing an opiate-related overdose." These changes should help increase access to the drug, since in general prescriptions are not permitted to be written for persons the practitioner has not personally examined, even though the friends and family members of a person at high risk for overdose are often the ones to seek help from a trusted practitioner.

Further, the bill permits physicians to prescribe the drug via standing order, so that persons operating under the direction of a prescriber can offer the drug where clinically indicated even where the recipient was not examined by the prescriber. Since it can often be difficult to access a professional with prescribing privileges, this change can be expected to increase access as well. Finally, the bill authorizes a person who receives naloxone under the terms of the bill to administer it to another person in the event of an overdose, so long as they exercise reasonable care in doing so.

Both practitioners who prescribe the drug as authorized in the law and laypeople who administer it are immune from any civil or criminal liability for those actions.

In March, 2013 the North Carolina Medical Board modified its Position Statement on drug overdose prevention to note that it is "encouraged by programs that are attempting to reduce the number of drug overdoses by making available or prescribing an opioid antagonist such as naloxone to someone in a position to assist a person at risk of an opiate-related overdose." In the Statement, the Board encourages “its licensees to cooperate with programs in their efforts to make opioid antagonists available to persons at risk of suffering an opiate-related overdose.” That same month the Board modified its position statement on third party prescription to note that prescribing to a patient that the practitioner has not personally examined is permitted in certain instances, including "prescribing an opiate antagonist to someone in a position to assist a person at risk of an opiate-related overdose."

**SUPPORTERS**

The Network for Public Health Law is a national initiative of the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation with direction and technical assistance by the Public Health Law Center at William Mitchell College of Law.

This document was developed by Corey Davis, J.D., M.S.P.H., at the Network for Public Health Law -- Southeastern Region (cdavis@networkforphl.org) with assistance from Nabarun Dasgupta, Ph.D. at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. The Network for Public Health Law provides information and technical assistance on issues related to public health. The legal information and assistance provided in this document does not constitute legal advice or legal representation. For legal advice, please consult specific legal counsel.
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For a comprehensive list of other state efforts, see NETWORK FOR PUBLIC HEALTH LAW, LEGAL INTERVENTIONS TO REDUCE OPIOID OVERDOSE MORTALITY: NALOXONE ACCESS AND GOOD SAMARITAN LAWS (2013), available at http://www.networkforphl.org/_asset/qz5pvn/network-naloxone-10-4.pdf.

The bill, Session Law 2012-23, will be codified at N.C.G.S. § 90-96.2 (Good Samaritan provisions), § 90-106.2 (naloxone access provisions), and § 18B-302.2 (alcohol provisions).

Immunity is provided for “a misdemeanor violation of G.S. 90-95(a)(3), (ii) a felony violation of G.S. 90-95(a)(3) for possession of less than one gram of cocaine, (iii) [and] a felony violation of G.S. 90-95(a)(3) for possession of less than one gram of heroin.”

The 100-dosage unit limit is for all drugs combined.
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See N.C.G.S. § 90-113.21.


Under the terms of the bill, “Evidence of reasonable care shall include the receipt of basic instruction and information on how to administer the opioid antagonist,” but such instruction is not required to be delivered and its receipt is not required to gain the law’s protection.
