

What is “parallel” to *Maready v. Winston-Salem*?

Incentives must “primarily benefit the public” & ensure “net public benefit”

Allowable means for incentives	Consideration in exchange for incentives	Procedural requirements for approval of incentives
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “even the most innovative activities ... are constitutional <i>so long as they primarily benefit the public</i> and not a private party.” • “While private actors will necessarily benefit ... [it] is merely incidental.” 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “ensure a net public benefit” <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Jobs for “displaced workers” 2. “better paying” jobs 3. Tax base (recoup incent. w/in “three to seven years”) 4. Diversify the economy • In competition for location (interstate?) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “strict procedural requirements” prevent abuse • “Typical procedures” <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Necessity [“but for”] determination 2. Written policy or guideline 3. Notice & hearing 4. Paid as reimbursement 5. Written agreement