

By: Sara DePasquale & Cheryl Howell







3



























GS 7B-911

- Enacted in 2005 as result of concern relating to:
 - Conflicting orders in juvenile and Chapter 50 cases, and
 - The length of time juvenile cases remain "pending"
 - S.L. 2005-320
- Legislation created:
 - Juvenile court priority, and
 - Juvenile court authority to end state intervention and allow custody issues to be handled as private matter in civil court when there is no more need for state involvement

18

Sherrick, 209 NC App 166 (2011)

 "In certain cases which have originated as abuse, neglect, or dependency proceedings under Chapter 7B of the General Statutes, a time may come when involvement by the Department of Social Services is no longer needed and the case becomes a custody dispute between private parties which is properly handled pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 50. [citation omitted] N.C. Gen.Stat. § 7B–911 sets forth a detailed procedure for transfer of such cases which will ensure that the juvenile is protected and that the juvenile's custodial situation is stable throughout this transition."

Overview

- When juvenile court determines there is no need for "continued State intervention on behalf of the juvenile though a juvenile court proceeding", the court can transfer the juvenile proceeding to a Chapter 50 custody proceeding.
- The juvenile judge creates a Chapter 50 custody order.
- The juvenile judge terminates the jurisdiction of the juvenile court
- All further matters relating to custody will be addressed in the Chapter 50 case

20

GS 7B-911

• Amended in 2013 to require that whenever you "place custody with a parent or other appropriate person," you "shall consider whether or not jurisdiction in the juvenile proceeding should be terminated and custody of the juvenile awarded to a parent or other appropriate person pursuant to GS 50-13.1, 50-13.2, 50-13.5, and 50-13.7."

• S.L. 2013-129

21

Sherrick

- "The procedure required by <u>N.C. Gen.Stat. § 7B-</u> 911 is not a mere formality which can be dispensed with just because the parties agree to a consent order. Jurisdiction cannot be conferred upon the court by consent, but the trial court must exercise its jurisdiction only in accordance with the applicable statutes."
 - Court of Appeals vacated all orders entered in civil custody case because order transferring case from juvenile court to civil court did not contain findings required by 7B-911(c)

22

All 7B-911 custody orders must include:

- All findings and conclusions required to support the new Chapter 50 custody order
 - Always best interest findings

 - Third party custody findings and conclusions when custody/visitation awarded to non-parent in case involving a parent
 Modification findings when new order modifies an existing Chapter 50 custody order
- Finding that there is not a need for continued State intervention on behalf of the juvenile through a juvenile court proceeding
- Finding that at least 6 months has passed since the court made the determination that the juvenile's placement with the person to whom the court is awarding custody is the permanent plan for the investigation of the second seco the juvenile
 - This finding is not required if custody is awarded to a parent or to a person with whom the child was living when the petition was filed

Procedure for entry of 7B-911 custody order

- When there is an existing Chapter 50 custody case:
 - New custody order is filed in the existing case
 - If claim is pending in existing case, new order must resolve the claim
 - · If new order modifies an existing custody order, new order also must contain findings and conclude there has been a substantial change in circumstances
 - If new order involves persons who are not parties in existing case, court must order that the new persons be joined as parties and order that caption of case changed accordingly

















• "(a) Except as otherwise provided in G.S. 50A-204, a court of this State *may not exercise its jurisdiction* under this Part if, at the time of the commencement of the proceeding, a proceeding concerning the custody of the child has been commenced in a court of another state having jurisdiction substantially in conformity with this Article, *unless the proceeding has been terminated* or is stayed by the court of the other state because a court of this State is a more convenient forum under G.S. 50A-207."

Impacts the UCCJEA

- Modification vs Simultaneous Jurisdiction:
 - If juvenile case is not terminated, NC has jurisdiction even if all parties leave the state
 - If juvenile case is terminated without a 7B-911 order, jurisdiction is determined for next custody proceeding as if it is an initial custody determination
 - If juvenile case is terminated and custody order is created pursuant to 7B-911, modification jurisdiction applies
 NC case no longer is 'pending'
 - NC loses continuing exclusive jurisdiction when all parties leave the state