

#### NC Code of judicial conduct

#### Canon 3A(4):

A judge should accord to every person who is legally interested in a proceeding, or the person's lawyer, full right to be heard according to law, and, except as authorized by law, neither knowingly initiate nor knowingly consider ex parte or other communications concerning a pending proceeding. A judge, however, may obtain the advice of a disinterested expert on the law applicable to a proceeding before the judge.

- PLUS, always remember the general provisions:
- Canon 1: "personally observe" standards of conduct to preserve the integrity and independence of the judiciary
- Canon 2A: conduct yourself "at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary"
- Canon 28: do not allow your "family, social or other relationships to influence" your judicial conduct or judgment

## Why the Restrictions on Ex parte communications?

Problems with Ex Parte Communications:

- Undermines Fundamental Fairness
- $\succ~$  denies the absent party the right to respond and be heard
- Undermines Confidence in the Impartiality of the Judge
  creates perception of ability to influence the judge
- Undermines the Adversarial System
  - > Adversarial testing is necessary to vet facts and information presented to the finder of fact and judge
  - In ex parte communications, misleading or false information can be given to the judge without the benefit of adversarial testing
  - > Jeopardizes search for the truth and justice

# Common scenarios involving ex parte communications

- Communicating with other judges
- Communicating with attorneys
- Communicating with parties or witnesses
- Communicating with *pro se* parties
- Communicating on social media
- Conducting independent research

### Formal Advisory Opinions

#### North Carolina Formal Advisory Opinion 2009-03

- It would be inappropriate for a judge to utilize a listserv for the specific purpose of obtaining the advice of a disinterested expert on the law applicable to a proceeding before the judge.
- North Carolina Formal Advisory Opinion 2010-01
  - A judge may enter an ex parte order for an attorney to be admitted to practice pro hac vice, provided all parties receive notice of the motion as required by law and have an opportunity to object.
- North Carolina Formal Advisory Opinion 2010-08
- Within the context of a diskip oppindin Zuccod Within the context of a diskip proceeding, a judge may not ethically enter an *ex parte* order under HIPAA for the production of medical records by a records custodian, unless an *ex parte* procedure is expressly authorized by statutory or case law. An order is not considered to have been issued *ex parte* if it is entered with the consent of all parties, or all parties are provided proper notice and have an opportunity to be heard.





### Rule 3.5 NC Rules of Professional Conduct

#### Before April 5, 2018

- A lawyer shall not: Lay
  - (3) communicate *ex parte* with a judge or other official except:
    - In the course of official proceedings;
    - In writing, if a copy of the writing is furnished simultaneously to the opposing party;
    - Orally, upon adequate notice to opposing party; or
    - As otherwise permitted by law

**UNC** 

- After April 5, 2018
- Lawyer representing a party in a matter pending before a tribunal shall not:
  - Unless authorized to do so by law or court order, communicate *ex parte* with the judge or other official regarding a matter pending before the judge or official

## Rule 3.5 NC Rules of Professional Conduct

- After April 5, 2018
  - (d) For purposes of this rule:
    - (1) Ex parte communication means a communication on behalf of a party to a matter pending before a tribunal that occurs in the absence of an opposing party, without notice to that party, and outside the record.
    - (2) A matter is pending before a particular tribunal when that tribunal has been selected to determine the matter or when it is reasonably foreseeable that the tribunal will be so selected.

1 UNC

