Right to Counsel in District Court

John Rubin UNC School of Government June 2013 If you want to impose a sentence of imprisonment in a misdemeanor case

You must afford a person the opportunity to be represented by counsel





If you don't afford the opportunity for counsel when required

You may not impose imprisonment

Example

 On June 1, the defendant appears in district court on a misdemeanor. The defendant is indigent and requests counsel, but the judge finds it unlikely that the defendant will receive a sentence of imprisonment and denies counsel. The case is continued and comes before you on June 22. You do not revisit the earlier counsel decision and, after hearing the evidence, conclude that a suspended sentence of imprisonment and probation is appropriate.







Example

 10% of the judges follow Professor Rubin's advice and, when the misdemeanor was initially heard and the defendant convicted, they impose a fine only because the defendant was not afforded the opportunity for counsel. The defendant fails to pay the fine and is brought back before the court.







After the right to counsel attaches, the defendant must be afforded the opportunity for appointed counsel within a reasonable time



until the defendant's regular court appearance



A defendant may represent himself or herself in a criminal case

If he or she validly waives counsel





May you proceed without a waiver of retained counsel?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No





A valid waiver must meet statutory and constitutional standards

The defendant must (1) be clearly advised of the right to counsel, (2) clearly and unequivocally express the desire to proceed without counsel, and (3) knowingly, intelligently, and voluntary waive the right to counsel

Which is a valid advisement of the right to counsel?

- 1. A general announcement at the start of court
- 2. Individual inquiry by the prosecutor
- 3. Individual inquiry by the judge
- 4. Something else



Example

 A defendant files a motion for appropriate relief to vacate a district court conviction from 1999 based on a right to counsel violation. He alleges that he did not have counsel and didn't waive counsel. The records have long since been destroyed so there is no evidence, other than the defendant's assertion, about whether his right to counsel was honored.

How would you rule?

- Vacate the conviction because, with no written record, the State has the burden of showing a valid waiver
- 2. Deny the motion based on the "presumption of regularity" unless the defendant produces more evidence
- 3. Something else

Attorneys fees must be ordered against an indigent defendant

Only if the defendant is convicted

Example

 An indigent defendant is charged with a misdemeanor and, by virtue of his appointed attorney's remarkable legal skills and work, gets a sweet (and lawful) deal to an infraction only.

