Top 10 Procurement Under Grant Mistakes
Leading to Audits and Potential Loss of FEMA Public Assistance Funding

1. Engaging in a noncompetitive procurement (i.e., sole-sourcing) without carefully documenting how the situation has created an urgent need to perform the work sooner than a competitive procurement process would allow.

2. Continuing work under a sole-source contract after the urgent need (see #1) has ended, instead of transitioning to a competitively procured contract.

3. Piggybacking onto another jurisdiction’s contract in a situation that doesn’t allow noncompetitive procurement (see #1) or where the other contract is materially different in terms of scope or requirements. Piggybacking is rarely allowable.

4. Awarding a “time-and-materials” contract without a ceiling price that the contractor exceeds at its own risk and without documenting why no other contract type is suitable.

5. Awarding a “cost-plus-percentage-of-cost” or “percentage-of-construction-cost” contract.

6. Not including the required contract clauses (available online at the below website under “PDAT Resources” menu).

7. Including a geographic preference in a solicitation (i.e., giving an advantage to local firms).

8. Not making and documenting efforts to solicit small businesses, minority businesses, and woman’s business enterprises.

9. Conducting a procurement exceeding $150,000 without conducting a detailed cost or price analysis.

10. Not carefully documenting all steps of a procurement to create a record if questions arise potentially years later.

For further information on FEMA grant procurement requirements, including contract review checklists, detailed guidance on the above topics, and online webinar training classes, please visit https://www.fema.gov/procurement-disaster-assistance-team.