

WARRANTIES IN SALES OF GOODS--ISSUE OF SELLER'S DEFENSE OF BUYER'S
ACTUAL OR CONSTRUCTIVE KNOWLEDGE OF DEFECTS--IMPLIED WARRANTY OF
FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

The (*state number*) issue reads:

"[Did the plaintiff know] [Should the plaintiff have known]
of the defects in the (*name good*) before entering into the
contract of purchase with the defendant?"¹

You will answer this issue only if you have answered the
(*state number*) issue "Yes" in favor of the plaintiff.

On this issue the burden of proof is on the defendant. This
means that the defendant must prove, by the greater weight of the
evidence, that, before entering into the contract of purchase with
the defendant, the plaintiff

[examined the [(*name good*)] [sample or model of the (*name
good*)] as fully as the plaintiff desired and the defect(s) in the
(*name good*) complained of [became known to *him*] [should, under the
circumstances, have been discovered by *him*]]

[refused to examine the (*name good*) and the defect(s) in the
(*name good*) complained of became known to *him*].

Finally, as to this (*state number*) issue on which the
defendant has the burden of proof, if you find by the greater

¹N.C.G.S. §25-2-316(3)(b) (1995).

N.C.P.I.--Civil 741.28
General Civil Volume
Page 2--Final Page

WARRANTIES IN SALES OF GOODS--ISSUE OF SELLER'S DEFENSE OF BUYER'S
ACTUAL OR CONSTRUCTIVE KNOWLEDGE OF DEFECTS--IMPLIED WARRANTY OF
FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. (Continued).

weight of the evidence that the plaintiff [knew] [should have
known] of the defect(s) in the (*name good*) before entering into
the contract of purchase with the defendant, then it would be your
duty to answer this issue "Yes" in favor of the defendant.

If, on the other hand, you fail to so find, then it would be
your duty to answer this issue "No" in favor of the plaintiff.