The defendant has been charged with aggravated assault<sup>1</sup> on a handicapped person.

For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must prove four things beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant assaulted the victim by intentionally<sup>2</sup> (and without justification or excuse)<sup>3</sup> (*describe assault*).

Second, that the victim was a handicapped person. A handicapped person is a person who has a [physical] (or) [mental] disability, such as [decreased use of arms or legs] [blindness] [deafness] [mental retardation] (or) [mental illness], or an infirmity which would substantially impair that person's ability to defend himself.

<u>Third</u>, that the defendant knew or had reasonable grounds to know that the victim was a handicapped person.

## And Fourth,

- a) [that the defendant used a deadly weapon. A deadly weapon is a weapon which is likely to cause death or serious bodily injury. [(Name weapon) is a deadly weapon.] [In determining whether (name weapon) is a deadly weapon, you should consider the nature of (name weapon), the manner in which it was used, and the size and strength of the defendant as compared to the victim.]<sup>4</sup>]
- b) [that the defendant used a means of force likely to inflict serious injury or serious damage to a handicapped person. In determining whether (name

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If a definition of assault is needed, see N.C.P.I.—Crim. 120.20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If a definition of intent is required, see N.C.P.I.—Crim. 120.10.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  The parenthetical phrase should be used only where there is evidence of justification or excuse, such as self-defense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Use applicable bracketed statement. In the event that there is a dispute as to which weapon was used and one of the weapons is non-deadly as a matter of law, *e.g.*, a real pistol and a toy pistol, state what would not be a deadly weapon.

force) is a force likely to inflict serious injury or serious damage to a handicapped person, you should consider the nature of (name force), the manner in which it was used, and the size and strength of the defendant as compared to (name victim).]

- c) [that the defendant inflicted serious injury or serious damage upon the victim.]<sup>5</sup>
- d) [that the defendant had the intent to kill the victim.]

NOTE WELL: If self-defense is an issue, use N.C.P.I.—Crim. 308.45.

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the alleged date, the defendant intentionally assaulted the victim, that the victim was a handicapped person, that the defendant knew or had reasonable grounds to know that the victim was a handicapped person, and that

- a) [the defendant used a deadly weapon,]
- b) [the defendant used a means of force likely to inflict serious injury or serious damage to a handicapped person,]
- c) [the defendant inflicted serious injury or serious damage upon the victim,]
- d) [the defendant intended to kill the victim,]

(nothing else appearing)<sup>6</sup> it would be your duty to return a verdict of guilty. If you do not so find or have a reasonable doubt as to one or more of these things, it would be your duty to return a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Serious injury may be defined as such physical injury as causes great pain and suffering. *See S. v. Jones*, 258 N.C. 89 (1962), or *S. v. Ferguson*, 261, N.C. 558 (1964). If there is evidence as to injuries which could not conceivably be considered anything but serious, the trial judge may instruct the jury as follows: "(*Describe injury*) would be a serious injury." *S. v. Davis*, 33 N.C. App. 262 (1977); *S. v. Springs*, 33 N.C. App. 61 (1977).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The parenthetical phrase should be used only where there is some evidence of justification or excuse, such as self-defense.

N.C.P.I.—Criminal 208.50A AGGRAVATED ASSAULT ON A HANDICAPPED PERSON. FELONY General Criminal Volume Replacement June 2011

verdict of not guilty.<sup>7</sup>

NOTE WELL: If self-defense is an issue, use mandate from N.C.P.I.—Crim. 308.40.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> If there is to be instruction on lesser included offenses, the last phrase should be: "... you will not return a verdict of guilty of simple assault on a handicapped person."

 $<sup>^{8}</sup>$  Including self-defense in the mandate is required by *S. v. Woodsen*, 31 N.C. App. 400 (1976). *Cf. S. v. Dooley*, 285 N.C. 158 (1974).