

N.C.P.I.—Crim 261.65
PROVIDING [FALSE] [MISLEADING] INFORMATION TO THE
INDUSTRIAL HEMP COMMISSION CONCERNING A [LICENSE
[APPLICATION] [RENEWAL]] [INSPECTION] [INVESTIGATION].
MISDEMEANOR.
JUNE 2017
N.C. Gen. Stat. § 106-568.57(b)

261.65 PROVIDING [FALSE] [MISLEADING] INFORMATION TO THE
INDUSTRIAL HEMP COMMISSION CONCERNING A [LICENSE
[APPLICATION] [RENEWAL]] [INSPECTION] [INVESTIGATION].
MISDEMEANOR.

NOTE WELL: This instruction is effective for offenses committed on or after December 1, 2016.

The defendant has been charged with providing [false] [misleading] information to the Industrial Hemp Commission concerning a(n) [license [application] [renewal]] [inspection] [investigation].

For you to find the defendant guilty of this offense, the State must prove three things beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that on or about the alleged date, the defendant provided information to the Industrial Hemp Commission concerning [a license [application] [renewal] [an [inspection] [investigation] by the Industrial Hemp Commission].

Second, that defendant on (name date) provided [false] [misleading] information (*describe information, e.g., defendant provided an inaccurate legal description of the location of the Industrial Hemp farming operation on his license application in violation of N.C. Gen. Stat. § 106-568.53(2)*) to the Industrial Hemp Commission concerning [his] [her] [[license] [renewal of a license] to] [an [inspection] [investigation] by] the Industrial Hemp Commission.

And Third, that defendant did so knowingly.

N.C.P.I.—Crim 261.65
PROVIDING [FALSE] [MISLEADING] INFORMATION TO THE
INDUSTRIAL HEMP COMMISSION CONCERNING A [LICENSE
[APPLICATION] [RENEWAL]] [INSPECTION] [INVESTIGATION].
MISDEMEANOR.
JUNE 2017
N.C. Gen. Stat. § 106-568.57(b)

If you find from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that on or about the alleged date, the defendant knowingly provided [false] [misleading] information to the Industrial Hemp Commission concerning [a license [application] [renewal] [an [inspection] [investigation]]], then it would be your duty to return a verdict of guilty. If you do not so find or have a reasonable doubt as to one or more of these things, it would be your duty to return a verdict of not guilty.