

N.C.P.I.—MV 106.14
PERSONAL INJURY—DAMAGES—PERMANENT INJURY.
MOTOR VEHICLE VOLUME
REPLACEMENT JUNE 2015

106.14 PERSONAL INJURY—DAMAGES—PERMANENT INJURY.

NOTE WELL: When this instruction is given, you should also give N.C.P.I.-Civil (MV) 106.16 - Future Worth in Present Value.

Damages for personal injury also include fair compensation for permanent injury.¹ An injury is permanent when any of its effects will continue throughout the plaintiff's life.² These effects may include

[medical expenses]

[loss of earnings]

[pain and suffering]

[scarring or disfigurement]

[(partial) loss (of use) of part of the body]

[(*state any other element of damages supported by the evidence*)]

to be incurred or experienced by the plaintiff over *his* life expectancy. However, the plaintiff is not entitled to recover twice for the same element of damages. Therefore, you should not include any amount you have already allowed for [medical expenses] [loss of earnings] [pain and suffering] [scarring or disfigurement] [(partial) loss (of use) of part of the body] because of permanent injury.

Life expectancy is the period of time the plaintiff may reasonably be expected to live. The life expectancy tables are in evidence.³ They show that for someone of the plaintiff's present age, (*state present age*), *his* life expectancy is (*state expectancy*) years.⁴

In determining the plaintiff's life expectancy, you will consider not only these tables, but also all other evidence as to *his* health, *his* constitution and

N.C.P.I.—MV 106.14
PERSONAL INJURY—DAMAGES—PERMANENT INJURY.
MOTOR VEHICLE VOLUME
REPLACEMENT JUNE 2015

his habits.⁵

NOTE WELL: N.C. Gen. Stat. § 8-46, as amended by S.L. 1997-133, effective June 4, 1997, provides as follows:

N.C.P.I.—MV 106.14
 PERSONAL INJURY—DAMAGES—PERMANENT INJURY.
 MOTOR VEHICLE VOLUME
 REPLACEMENT JUNE 2015

8-46. Mortality tables as evidence.

Whenever it is necessary to establish the expectancy of continued life of any person from any period of the person's life, whether the person is living at the time or not, the table hereto appended shall be received in all courts and by all persons having power to determine litigation, as evidence, with other evidence as to the health, constitution and habits of the person, of such expectancy represented by the figures in the columns headed by the words "completed age" and "expectation" respectively:

Completed Age / Expectation

0 / 75.8	29 / 48.5	58 / 22.7
1 / 75.4	30 / 47.5	59 / 21.9
2 / 74.5	31 / 46.6	60 / 21.1
3 / 73.5	32 / 45.7	61 / 20.4
4 / 72.5	33 / 44.7	62 / 19.7
5 / 71.6	34 / 43.8	63 / 18.9
6 / 70.6	35 / 42.9	64 / 18.2
7 / 69.6	36 / 42.0	65 / 17.5
8 / 68.6	37 / 41.0	66 / 16.8
9 / 67.6	38 / 40.1	67 / 16.1
10 / 66.6	39 / 39.2	68 / 15.5
11 / 65.6	40 / 38.3	69 / 14.8
12 / 64.6	41 / 37.4	70 / 14.2
13 / 63.7	42 / 36.5	71 / 13.5
14 / 62.7	43 / 35.6	72 / 12.9
15 / 61.7	44 / 34.7	73 / 12.3
16 / 60.7	45 / 33.8	74 / 11.7
17 / 59.8	46 / 32.9	75 / 11.2
18 / 58.8	47 / 32.0	76 / 10.6
19 / 57.9	48 / 31.1	77 / 10.0
20 / 56.9	49 / 30.2	78 / 9.5
21 / 56.0	50 / 29.3	79 / 9.0
22 / 55.1	51 / 28.5	80 / 8.5
23 / 54.1	52 / 27.6	81 / 8.0
24 / 53.2	53 / 26.8	82 / 7.5
25 / 52.2	54 / 25.9	83 / 7.1
26 / 51.3	55 / 25.1	84 / 6.6
27 / 50.4	56 / 24.3	85 & over / 6.6
28 / 49.4	57 / 23.5	

N.C.P.I.—MV 106.14
 PERSONAL INJURY—DAMAGES—PERMANENT INJURY.
 MOTOR VEHICLE VOLUME
 REPLACEMENT JUNE 2015

1 A jury may consider permanent injury as an element of damages where there is sufficient evidence showing that the injury is permanent and that it proximately resulted from the wrongful act. See *Short v. Chapman*, 261 N.C. 674, 682, 136 S.E.2d 40, 46-47 (1964); *Collins v. St. George Physical Therapy*, 141 N.C. App. 82, 84, 539 S.E.2d 356, 358 (2000); *Matthews v. Food Lion, Inc.*, 135 N.C. App. 784, 785, 522 S.E.2d 587, 588 (1999).

2 "Where, however, the injury is subjective and of such a nature that laymen cannot, with reasonable certainty, know whether there will be future pain and suffering, it is necessary, in order to warrant an instruction which will authorize the jury to award damages for permanent injury, that there be offered evidence by expert witnesses, learned in human anatomy, who can testify, either from a personal examination or knowledge of the history of the case, or from a hypothetical question based on the facts, that the plaintiff, with reasonable certainty, may be expected to experience future pain and suffering, as a result of the injury proven." *Gillikin v. Burbage*, 263 N.C. 317, 326, 139 S.E.2d 753, 760-61 (1965) (internal citations and quotation marks omitted); *Littleton v. Willis*, 205 N.C. App. 224, 231-232, 695 S.E.2d 468, 473 (2010) (finding error in trial court's instruction to jury on permanent injury where the plaintiff "did not present any medical expert testimony that [p]laintiff, 'with reasonable certainty, may be expected to experience future pain and suffering, as a result of the injury proven,'" as an instruction on permanent injury would have required jurors to speculate on how long they believed plaintiff's pain would continue in the future) (citation omitted)

3 Ordinarily the "mortality tables" (reprinted below) will be in evidence. However, since they are statutory (N.C. Gen. Stat. § 8-46) (1997), judicial notice of them may be taken. *Chandler v. Chemical Co.*, 270 N.C. 395, 400, 154 S.E.2d 502, 506 (1967); *Rector v. James*, 41 N.C. App. 267, 272, 254 S.E.2d 633, 637 (1979). The annuity tables (N.C. Gen. Stat. § 8-47) are different and should not be admitted in evidence. As pointed out in *Hunt v. Wooten*, 238 N.C. 42, 76 S.E.2d 326 (1953), the annuity tables have nothing to do with the establishment of life expectancy and that it would be error to admit them for that purpose. Where the life expectancy to be determined is that of the plaintiff, his age is to be measured as of the date the jury charge is given.

4 The purpose of the permanent injury instruction "is to compensate the plaintiff for *additional* future harm that she is expected to experience because of a permanent injury that she suffered as a proximate result of the defendant's conduct." *Nicholson v. Thom*, ___ N.C. App. ___, ___, 763 S.E.2d 772, 792 (2014). In the event that the "decedent is not alive at the time of the trial and [if] Plaintiff did not bring suit for wrongful death," the trial court should not instruct on permanent injury. *Id.* In these circumstances [where the decedent is no longer living and there is no wrongful death claim], this instruction should not be used. *Id.*

5 A failure to include this sentence, or its equivalent, is reversible error. *Kinsey v. Kenly*, 263 N.C. 376, 139 S.E.2d 686 (1965); *Harris v. Greyhound Corp.*, 243 N.C. 346, 90 S.E.2d 710 (1956).